

Panther Environmental Solutions Ltd Units 3 & 4, Innovation Centre Institute of Technology Green Road, Carlow Ireland R93 W248

> Telephone: 059-9134222 Email: <u>info@pantherwms.com</u> Website: <u>www.pantherwms.com</u>

# APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT SCREENING REPORT

# CARLOW COUNTY COUNCIL, HAYMARKET, CO. CARLOW

# 2022

<b>REPORT NO:</b>	PES_AA_21684	AUTHOR:	Ross Donnelly-Swift, PhD
DATE:	26 <sup>th</sup> August 2022	<b>Reviewed:</b>	Mike Fraher, BSc.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRODUCTION
2.0	LEGISLATIVE CONEXT
3.0	SCREENING FOR APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT
3.1	METHODOLOGY GUIDELINES
3.2	DESKTOP RESEARCH
3.3	SITE SURVEY
4.0	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND EXISTING SITE6
4.1	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT
4.2	EXISTING ENVIRONMENT
4.3	WATER QUALITY
5.0	EUROPEAN SITES (NATURA 2000 SITES) WITHIN ZONE OF INFLUENCE 12
5.1	RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC (SITE CODE: 002162)12
6.0	ASSESSMENT OF LIKELY IMPACTS
6.1	DISTURBANCE TO PROTECTED HABITATS AND SPECIES
6.2	INVASIVE SPECIES
6.3	POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON WATER QUALITY
6.4	IN COMBINATION EFFECTS
7.0	SCREENING STATEMENT AND CONCLUSIONS
8.0	REFERENCES
APPE	NDIX A ALL QUALIFYING INTERESTS42
APPE	NDIX B QUALIFYING INTERESTS WITHIN THE PROJECT ZONE OF INTEREST 48
APPE	NDIX C PROTECTED SITES AND SITE PLANS
APPE	NDIX D PHOTO LOG

# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Panther Environmental Solutions Ltd. was commissioned by Carlow County Council, Housing Department to prepare an Appropriate Assessment Screening Report. The proposed development will be the refurbishment of two units at Haymarket, Co. Carlow.

The principal aim of this study is to assess whether significant effects to European sites (the Natura 2000 network) are likely to occur as a result of this project in accordance with Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive and the Planning and Development (Amendment) Act, 2001, as amended. This report has been prepared with regards to the European Communities (Natural Habitats) Regulations 1997 (S.I. No. 94 of 1997), and the later amendment regulations (S.I. No. 233 of 1998; S.I. No. 237 of 2005).

A study was undertaken by Dr Ross Donnelly-Swift (BSc (Hons) Biology, MSc Environmental Science and PhD Biosystems Engineering) of Panther Environmental Solutions Limited. This comprised a review of the proposed development, a site visit on 18<sup>th</sup> August 2022 to examine the ecological context of the proposed development, a desk study of the information on European sites within the potential zone of influence of the site and an analysis of the information in the context of the guidance to determine if a Natura Impact Statement is required.

# 2.0 LEGISLATIVE CONEXT

The EU Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora, as amended by council directive 97/62/EC, 2006/105/EC, and Regulation EC1882/2003 of September 2003, as transposed into Irish law by the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. 477/11), provides the framework for legal protection for habitats and species of European importance. The Natura 2000 network provides an ecological infrastructure for the protection of sites that are of particular importance for rare, endangered or vulnerable habitats and species within the EU. The Natura 2000 network in Ireland is made up of European Sites which include:

- Special Areas of Conservation (SACs)
- Special Protection Areas (SPAs)

Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive establishes the requirement for appropriate assessment when planning new developments that might affect a Natura 2000 site. Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive states;

"Any plan or project not directly connected with, or necessary to the management of the site, but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In the light of the conclusions of the assessment of the implications for the site, and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public."

#### 3.0 SCREENING FOR APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT

Screening is the first stage in the Appropriate Assessment process and is carried out to determine whether a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment and a Natura Impact Statement (NIS) is required. Screening addresses and records the reasoning and conclusions in relation to the first two tests of Article 6(3);

- 1. Whether a plan or project is directly connected to or necessary for the management of the European (Natura 2000) site; and
- 2. Whether a plan or project, alone or in combination with other plans or projects, is likely to have significant effects on a European (Natura 2000) site, in view of its conservation objectives.

Screening should be undertaken without the inclusion of mitigation measures. If the effects are deemed to be significant, potentially significant, or uncertain, or if the screening process becomes overly complicated, then the process must proceed to Stage 2 AA and an NIS.

The findings and conclusions of the screening process should be documented, with the necessary supporting evidence and objective criteria. This is of particular importance in the cases where the Appropriate Assessment process ends at the screening stage because the conclusion is that no significant effects are likely.

Screening for Appropriate Assessment involves:

- Description of the project and area characteristics (existing environment);
- Identification and description of Natura 2000 sites that could potentially be affected, and compilation of information on their qualifying interests and conservation objectives;
- Assessment of likely effects direct, indirect and cumulative, undertaken on the basis of availability of objective information as necessary;
- Screening statement with conclusions.

#### 3.1 METHODOLOGY GUIDELINES

This Appropriate Assessment has been carried with reference to the following guidelines:

- Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland. Guidelines for Planning Authorities. DoEHLG, 2009.
- Circular NPWS 1/10 & PSSP 2/10 Appropriate Assessment under Article 6 of the Habitats Directive: Guidance for Planning Authorities
- *Managing Natura 2000 sites The Provisions of Article 6 of The Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC.* European Commission, 2000.
- Circular L8/08 Water Services Investment and Rural Water Programmes Protection of Natural Heritage and National Monuments 2 September 2008

- Assessment of Plans and Projects Significantly Affecting Natura 2000 sites. Methodological Guidance on the Provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC. European Commission, 2002.
- Commission Notice "Managing Natura 2000 sites The provisions of Article 6 of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC. European Commission, 21.11.2018
- CIEEM (2018) Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland: Terrestrial, Freshwater, Coastal and Marine version 1.1. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester.

#### **3.2 DESKTOP RESEARCH**

Desktop research was carried out to gather information on the ecology of the site and surrounding areas. The locations of the Natura 2000 sites within 15km of Haymarket, Co. Carlow, were identified from National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) online map viewer. Other Natura sites beyond 15km were also reviewed and considered for the potential for the project to have a negative effect.

Water quality data from the EPA was reviewed for the assessment of biological and environmental data collected on waterbodies in Ireland as per the Water Framework Directive (WFD) Monitoring Programme of River Ecology Monitoring Results (2020).

Information on the characteristics of the Natura 2000 sites within the potential zone of influence was reviewed from the conservation objectives documents, site synopses and Standard Natura 2000 data forms available on the NPWS website.

#### 3.3 SITE SURVEY

A site characterisation assessment was undertaken on the 18<sup>th</sup> August 2022 to examine the ecological context of the development site, by systematically walking the site and boundaries and determining the habitats present. The habitat survey was undertaken in accordance with the standard methodology outlined in Fossitt's "A Guide to Habitats in Ireland", a hierarchical classification scheme based upon the characteristics of vegetation present. The Fossitt system also indicates when there are potential links with Annex I habitats of the E.U. Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC). Cognisance was also taken of the Heritage Council guidelines, "Best Practice Guidance for Habitat Survey and Mapping", (Smith et al., 2011).

Bird species and signs of fauna activity and dwellings were also noted. Particular attention was given to the possible presence of habitats and/or species, which are legally protected under Irish and European legislation and to assessing any potential ecological connectivity with Natura 2000 sites or supplementary or stepping-stone habitats of relevance to Natura 200 sites.

#### 4.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND EXISTING SITE

#### 4.1 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development will consist of the refurbishing of existing building into two units at Haymarket Co. Carlow [ITM Coordinates: 671906, 676789], as shown in Figure 4.1. The total site area is 0.018ha. The closest Natura 2000 site is the River Barrow and River Nore SAC (Site Code: 002162) which is located at its closest approximately 172m to the west of the proposed development site boundary as shown in Figure 4.2 below. The existing building consists of two floors. The existing ground floor consists of three rooms and hallway with a total area of 55m<sup>2</sup>. The existing first floor consists of two rooms, a bathroom and landing/hallway with a total area of  $52m^2$ . The existing garden area to the rear includes a stone wall aligning the boundary and a stone shed. The site will be accessed via Church Street. The proposed refurbishment of the unit will consist of two individual apartment units across the ground and first floor. The proposed floor plan for Unit A on the ground floor will consist of a private entrance with hallway, two bedrooms, hot press, bathroom, kitchen and living area with a total area of 65m<sup>2</sup>. The proposed Unit A will have access to the garden area to the rear of the site. The existing stone wall will be capped and restored. The existing stone shed will be removed. The ground floor plan will also include part of the proposed floor plan for the Unit B that will include a private individual entrance, stairway, hallway and storage, with an area of 9.3m<sup>2</sup>. The proposed floor plan for Unit B located on the first floor will consist of two bedrooms, landing, hot press, bathroom, living area and kitchen. With access to a proposed terrace area to the rear of the site with a total floor area of 52.8m<sup>2</sup>. The combined total area of proposed development is 107m<sup>2</sup>.

The proposed heating system will be air to water heat pump. Water will be supplied to the proposed dwelling via the public mains. Wastewater from the dwelling will be a new connection to mains sewage line. Stormwater from hard surfaces will be directed to the existing drainage network. The Landscape plan will include the removal of the existing derelict stone shed and creating an amenity grassland garden area. The landscape plan will also include the planting of native and non-invasive ornamental species in its design. See Appendix C for site plans. Construction works would be confined to the proposed development footprint and would not necessitate any works within a watercourse or drainage ditch. During excavation works, soils would be temporarily stored onsite. Any excess soils would be used for landscaping or exported offsite via a licenced contractor. There is no hazardous material within the site boundary. The estimated construction timeframe, including landscaping activities, for the proposed development is approximately six months. Construction works would be confined to the proposed development footprint and would not necessitate any works within a riparian zone. During excavation works, soils would be temporarily stored onsite. Any excess soils would be used for landscaping and reinstatement works where possible. All material from the refurbishment of the derelict site will be removed by a licence contractor and disposed of at a licenced facility.

The following project elements of the proposed development have been examined for relevance to possible effects on the Natura 2000 sites;

- Earthworks & Excavation
- Sediment & Hydrocarbon Runnoff
- Stormwater & Waste Water
- Disturbance to Protected Species
- Impact on Protected Habitats
- Dust and Noise
- Invasive Species



Figure 4.1: Location of Proposed Site at Haymarket, Co. Carlow



Figure 4.2: Location of Proposed Development and Natura 2000 Sites

# 4.2 EXISTING ENVIRONMENT

The proposed development site is mainly comprised of artificial surfaces. A stone wall is aligning the boundary of the site within the garden area and a derelict stone shed at rear of the garden to the west of the proposed site. The surrounding area is predominantly urban in nature with residential premises and small commercial areas located in the immediate vicinity of the site. The River Barrow is the closets watercourse to the proposed site at approximately 172m west from the proposed site boundary.

According to the Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment (PFRA) Mapping tool by the OPW, the proposed development site is not located within an area of fluvial or pluvial flood, indicative of 10% AEP (10-yr) event, 1% AEP (100-yr) event or 0.1% AEP (1000-yr) event. However, it should be noted that this map is based on broad-scale simple analysis and may not be accurate for a specific location.

The garden to the rear is scrub (WS1) habitat with immature Ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*), Sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*), Holly (*Ilex aquifolium*) and Elder (*Sambucus nigra*). Also abundant is Bramble (*Rubus fruticosus*), Cleavers (*Galium aparine*), Nettle (*Urtica dioica*) and Valerian (*Centranthus ruber*). There are some dead/dying Sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*) located along the east boundary. The rest of the site is buildings and artificial surfaces (BL3) habitat with Ivy (*Hedera helix*), Boston ivy (*Parthenocissus tricuspidate*) and Butterfly-bush (*Buddleja davidii*) growing on the building and walls. There were no protected flora or Third Schedule invasive species found within the site boundary. See Table 4.1 for summary for habitats located at the proposed development. See Appendix C for Photo Log of the main habitats observed during the site assessment.

HABITAT CLASSIFICATION HIERARCHY			
LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	
<b>B</b> – Cultivated and built land	<b>BL</b> – Built land	<b>BL3 -</b> Buildings and artificial surfaces	
$\mathbf{W}$ – Woodland and scrub	WS – Scrub/transitional woodland	WS1 - Scrub	

Table 4.1 Summary of Habitats Identified at and Adjacent the Proposed Development Site

Bird species noted during the site walkover included Jackdaw (*Corvus monedula*), Feral pigeons (*Columba livia domestica*), House Sparrow (*Passer domesticus*), Starling (*Sturnus vulagaris*), Rook (*Corvus frugilegus*), Woodpigeon (*Columba palumbus*), Swift (*Apus apus*). Swallow (*Hirundo rustica*), Blue Tit (*Parus caeruleus*) and Wren (*Troglodytes troglodytes*). No species is red listed under the BoCCI classification. House Sparrow, Swallow, Starling and Swift are listed as amber listed. None of the bird species recorded are listed under Annex I of the E.U. Birds Directive. The proposed site does not contain suitable nesting or foraging habitat for Kingfisher (*Alcedo atthis*). There was no evidence of other fauna noted during the survey. However, fauna, typical of that found throughout the rest of Ireland, which would be expected to be found in the area include Bat species, Badger (*Meles meles*), Fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), Otter (*Lutra lutra*), Wood Mouse (*Apodemus sylvaticus*), Rabbit (*Oryctalagus cuniculus*), Pine Marten (*Martes martes*), Stoat (*Mustela erminea hibernica*), American Mink (*Mustela vison*), Deer, Irish Hare (*Lepus timidus hibernicus*), Hedgehog (*Erinus europaeus*), Red Squirrel (*Sciurus vulgaris*), Grey Squirrel (*Sciurus carolinensis*) and Brown Rat (*Rattus norvegicus*).

In addition to the site walkover, flora and fauna records were reviewed on the National Biodiversity Data Centre (NBDC) website for the proposed development site and vicinity. No protected plant species under the Flora (Protection) Order, 2015 (S.I. No. 356 of 2015) were recorded within the 10km square (Tetrad – S77) in which the proposed development site is located. Endangered or threatened flora within this tetrad are Blue Fleabane (*Erigeron acer*), Cornflower (*Centaurea cyanus*). Seven invasive plant species listed in the Third Schedule of the European Communities Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 477 of 2011) were recorded within the 10km square (Tetrad – S77): Water Fern (*Azolla filiculoides*), Canadian Waterweed (*Elodea canadensis*), Giant Hogweed (*Heracleum mantegazzianum*), Indian Balsam (*Impatiens glandulifera*), Japanese Knotweed (*Fallopia japonica*), Nuttall's Waterweed (*Elodea nuttallii*) and Three-cornered Garlic (*Allium triquetrum*).

Protected fauna species of note recorded within the NBDC 10km square (Tetrad – S77) include the protected species, Common Frog (*Rana temporaria*), Smooth Newt (*Lissotriton vulgaris*), Freshwater White-clawed Crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*), Common Lizard (*Zootoca vivipara*), Brown Long-eared Bat (*Plecotus auritus*), Daubenton's Bat (*Myotis daubentonii*), Badger (*Meles meles*), Pygmy Shrew (*Sorex minutus*), Red Squirrel (*Sciurus vulgaris*), Otter (*Lutra lutra*), Lesser Noctule (*Nyctalus leisleri*), Natterer's Bat (*Myotis nattereri*), Pine Marten (*Martes martes*), Pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus sensu lato*), Red Deer (*Cervus elaphus*), Soprano Pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pygmaeus*), Canada Goose (*Branta canadensis*) and Hedgehog (*Erinaceus europaeus*).

High impact invasive species listed in the Third Schedule of the European Communities Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 477 of 2011) include American Mink (*Mustela vison*), Grey Squirrel (*Sciurus carolinensis*), Sika Deer (*Cervus nippon*) and the Brown Rat (*Rattus norvegicus*).

Bird species of note include Barn Owl (*Tyto alba*), Swallow (*Hirundo rustica*), Black-headed Gull (*Larus ridibundus*), Canada Goose (*Branta canadensis*), Coot (*Fulica atra*), Kestrel (*Falco tinnunculus*), Kingfisher (*Alcedo atthis*), Linnet (*Carduelis cannabina*), Pochard (*Aythya ferina*), Common Sandpiper (*Actitis hypoleucos*), Snipe (*Gallinago gallinago*), Starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*), Swift (*Apus apus*), Curlew (*Numenius arquata*), Teal (*Anas crecca*), Tree Sparrow (*Passer montanus*), Corn Crake (*Crex crex*), Woodcock (*Scolopax rusticola*), Golden Plover (*Pluvialis apricaria*), Gadwall (*Anas strepera*), Great Black-backed Gull (*Larus marinus*), Great Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax carbo*), House Martin (*Delichon urbicum*), House Sparrow (*Passer domesticus*), Lesser Black-backed Gull (*Larus fuscus*), Little Egret (*Egretta garzetta*), Little Grebe (*Tachybaptus ruficollis*), Mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*), Mute Swan (*Cygnus olor*), Northern Lapwing (*Vanellus vanellus*), Sand Martin (*Riparia riparia*), Sky Lark (*Alauda arvensis*), Spotted Flycatcher (*Muscicapa striata*), Pigeon (*Columba oenas*), Tufted Duck (*Aythya fuligula*), Water Rail (*Rallus aquaticus*), Whooper Swan (*Cygnus cygnus*) and Yellowhammer (*Emberiza citrinella*)

# 4.3 WATER QUALITY

The proposed development is located within the Barrow Catchment (Catchment ID: 14), Sub Catchment Barrow\_090. The nearest watercourse to the proposed development site is the River Barrow (EPA Code: 14B01 – Order 5) flowing in a southerly direction approximately 172m to the west of the site boundary. Other watercourses in the vicinity of the site are the Burren (EPA Code: 14B05 – Order 4) located approximately 185m south of the proposed site. The Burren River enters the River Barrow approximately 291m (hydrologically) downstream from the proposed development site. The PO Carlow (EPA Code: 14P32 – Order 1) enters the River Barrow upstream from the proposed site. The Knocknagee (EPA Code: 14K61 – Order 1) is located approximately 836m south east of the proposed development site.

The Conservation Objectives document for the River Barrow and River Nore SAC shows that water quality objectives have been set for White-clawed Crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*) and Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*), with a Q3-4 (moderate status) and Q4 (good status) values set as objectives in freshwater. Water quality objectives have also been set for Twaite Shad, with a target of oxygen levels no lower than 5mg/l.





Figure 4.3: Watercourses surrounding the proposed development

STATION NO.	STATION LOCATION	EASTING	Northing	APPROX. LOCATION RELATIVE TO PROPOSED SITE
RS14B011900	Tankardstown Br	270367.16	188219.74	12km upstream of the Barrow
RS14B012200	New Br 1km u/s Carlow Br	272007.35	177778.69	1km upstream of the Barrow
RS14B012455	Footbridge, Dolmen Hotel	270653	174173	2.8km downstream of the Barrow
RS14B012600	Milford Br	269975	170430	3.6km downstream of the Barrow

Table 4.2: Active Monitoring Stations of the River Barrow



**Figure 4.4:** EPA Ecological Monitoring of the River Barrow from 2003 – 2020

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) undertake surface water monitoring along the River Barrow. The results for the nearest monitoring stations (as per Table 4.2) with available monitoring results for the period 2003–2020 are summarised in Figure 4.4 above for indicative purposes. As can be seen in Figure 4.4 above, the River Barrow is mainly achieving a water quality status of between Q3-4 (Moderate) in recent number of years with water quality maintaining a Moderate status.

EPA comments on the most recent monitoring results for the River Barrow are as follows. "Both of the stations assessed in 2021, one upstream of Portarlington storm water overflow and one downstream of the Portarlington WWTP primary discharge, were in Poor ecological condition, which is lower than any rating recorded in the Barrow since 2009. The dissolved oxygen levels recorded in evening sampling at both of these sites was very high, indicating excessive primary production"

#### 5.0 EUROPEAN SITES (NATURA 2000 SITES) WITHIN ZONE OF INFLUENCE

In assessing the zone of influence of this project upon European sites, the following factors must be considered:

- Potential impacts arising from the project,
- The location and nature of European sites,
- Pathways between the development and European sites.

The project impact sources, environmental pathways and protected site characteristics were screened to identify European sites potentially within the zone of influence of the project.

No Special Protection Area (SPA) sites occur within the zone of influence of the proposed development. Two Special Area of Conservation (SAC) sites occur within the zone of influence of the proposed development site and are shown in the following table:

SITE NAME	DESIGNATION	SITE CODE	DISTANCE
River Barrow and River Nore	SAC	002162	172m W
Slaney River Valley	SAC	000781	11km E

Maps detailing European sites within 2km and 15km of the proposed site are included as Appendix C below.

For this assessment, the site considered to be within the zone of influence of the proposed development was the River Barrow and River Nore SAC (Site Code: 002162), due to the close proximity with the proposed development site. The proposed development is not hydrologically connected to the Slaney River Valley SAC (Site Code: 000781) nor does the proposed development contain the habitats associated with the SAC. Therefore, in the absence of a source-pathway-receptor relationship and given the distances from the development, this SAC site has been screened out.

# 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC (SITE CODE: 002162)

This SAC is composed of the freshwater stretches of the Barrow and Nore catchments, as far upstream as the Slieve Bloom Mountains, and the tidal elements and estuary as far downstream as Creadun Head in Waterford. The larger tributaries include the Lerr, Fushoge, Mountain, Aughavaud, Owenass, Boherbaun and Stradbally Rivers of the Barrow and the Delour, Dinin, Erkina, Owveg, Munster, Arrigle and King's Rivers on the Nore. The site is a SAC selected for the following habitats and/or species listed on Annex I / II of the E.U. Habitats Directive:

ANNEX I HABITATS		
CODE DESCRIPTION		
1130	Estuaries	
1140	Tidal Mudflats and Sandflats	
1170 Reefs		

ANNEX I HABITATS			
CODE	DESCRIPTION		
1310	Salicornia Mud		
1330	Atlantic Salt Meadows (Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae)		
1410	Mediterranean salt meadows (Juncetalia maritimi)		
3260	Floating River Vegetation		
4030	Dry Heath		
6430	Hydrophilous Tall Herb Communities		
7220	Petrifying Springs*		
91A0	Old Oak Woodlands		
91E0	Alluvial Forests*		

\* denotes a priority habitat

	ANNEX II SPECIES			
CODE	COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME		
1016	Desmoulin's Whorl Snail	Vertigo moulinsiana		
1029	Freshwater Pearl Mussel	Margaritifera margaritifera		
1092	White-clawed Crayfish	Austropotamobius pallipes		
1095	Sea Lamprey	Petromyzon marinus		
1096	Brook Lamprey	Lampetra planeri		
1099	River Lamprey	Lampetra fluviatilis		
1103	Twaite Shad	Alosa fallax		
1106	Atlantic Salmon	Salmo salar		
1355	Otter	Lutra lutra		
1421	Killarney Fern	Trichomanes speciosum		
1990	Nore Freshwater Pearl Mussel	Margaritifera durrovensis		

An excerpt from the site synopsis for River Barrow and River Nore SAC is included below;

"This site consists of the freshwater stretches of the Barrow and Nore River catchments as far upstream as the Slieve Bloom Mountains, and it also includes the tidal elements and estuary as far downstream as Creadun Head in Waterford. The site passes through eight counties – Offaly, Kildare, Laois, Carlow, Kilkenny, Tipperary, Wexford and Waterford. Major towns along the edge of the site include Mountmellick, Portarlington, Monasterevin, Stradbally, Athy, Carlow, Leighlinbridge, Graiguenamanagh, New Ross, Inistioge, Thomastown, Callan, Bennettsbridge, Kilkenny and Durrow. The larger of the many tributaries include the Lerr, Fushoge, Mountain, Aughavaud, Owenass, Boherbaun and Stradbally Rivers of the Barrow, and the Delour, Dinin, Erkina, Owveg, Munster, Arrigle and King's Rivers on the Nore".

Both rivers rise in the Old Red Sandstone of the Slieve Bloom Mountains before passing through a band of Carboniferous shales and sandstones. The upper reaches of the Barrow runs through limestone, with the middle reaches and many of the eastern tributaries running through Leinster Granite. The southern end runs over intrusive rocks poor in silica.

Good examples of alluvial forest are seen at Rathsnagadan, Murphy's of the River and along other shorter stretches of both the tidal and freshwater elements of the site. Typical species include Almond Willow (*Salix triandra*), White Willow (*S. alba*), Rusty Willow (*S. cinerea* subsp. *oleifolia*), Crack Willow (*S. fragilis*) and Osier (*S. viminalis*), along with Iris (*Iris* 

*pseudacorus*), Hemlock Water-dropwort (*Oenanthe crocata*), Thin-spiked Wood-sedge (*Carex strigosa*), Pendulous Sedge (*C. pendula*), Meadowsweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*) and the Red Data Book species Nettle-leaved Bellflower (*Campanula trachelium*).

Good examples of old oak woodlands include those at Cloghristic Wood, Drummond Wood and Borris Demesne. Borris Demesne contains a very good example of a semi-natural broadleaved woodland in very good condition, with a high degree of natural regeneration of oak and ash. Drummond Wood consists of three blocks of deciduous woods situated on steep slopes. The deciduous trees are mostly oak species. The woods have a well-established understorey of Holly, and the herb layer is varied.

Eutrophic tall herb vegetation occurs within various areas of alluvial forest and where the river floodplain is intact. Characteristic species include Meadowsweet, Purple Loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), Marsh Ragwort (*Senecio aquaticus*) and Hedge Bindweed (*Calystegia sepium*). The invasive Indian Balsam (*Impatiens glandulifera*) is abundant in places. Floating river vegetation is well represented in the Barrow and many of its tributaries. Species include water-starworts (*Callitriche* spp.), Bulbous Rush (*Juncus bulbosus*), water-milfoils (*Myriophyllum spp.*), pondweeds (*Potamogeton spp.*) and crowfoots (*Ranunculus spp.*).

Dry heath occurs in pockets along the steep valley sides of the rivers. Dry heath vegetation consists of Bracken and Gorse (*Ulex europaeus*) with patches of acidic grassland vegetation. Additional typical species include Heath Bedstraw (*Galium saxatile*), Foxglove (*Digitalis purpurea*), Common Sorrel (*Rumex acetosa*) and Creeping Bent (*Agrostis stolonifera*). Dry heath generally grades into wet woodland or wet swamp vegetation lower down the slopes on the riverbank. In the foothills associated with the Aughnabrisky, Aughavaud and Mountain Rivers there are wet heath areas dominated by Purple Moor-grass (*Molinia caerulea*) with Heather (*Calluna vulgaris*) and Bell Heather (*Erica cinerea*).

Salt meadows occur at the site's southern section. In the larger salt meadow areas, the Atlantic and Mediterranean sub types are generally intermixed. At the salt meadow's upper edge, the legally protected Borrer's Saltmarsh-grass (*Puccinellia fasciculata*), Meadow Barley (*Hordeum secalinum*) and Divided Sedge (*Carex divisa*) are found. Other flora present includes Sea Rush (*Juncus maritimus*), Sea Aster (*Aster tripolium*), Thrift (*Armeria maritima*), Sea Couch (*Elymus pycnanthus*), Spear-leaved Orache (*Atriplex prostrata*), Lesser Sea-spurrey (*Spergularia marina*) and Sea Arrowgrass (*Triglochin maritima*). Glassworts (*Salicornia* spp.) and other annuals colonising mud / sand are found in the saltmarsh creeks and at seaward edges.

The estuary and the other Annex I habitats within it form a large component of the site. Extensive areas of intertidal flats are present. Good quality intertidal sand and mudflats have developed on a linear shelf on the western side of Waterford Harbour. They have a typical macro-invertebrate fauna, characterised by polychaetes and bivalves. Common species include *Arenicola marina, Nephtys hombergii, Scoloplos armiger, Lanice conchilega* and *Cerastoderma edule*. An extensive area of honey-comb reefs, formed by the polychaete worm *Sabellaria alveolata*, occur adjacent to Duncannon, Co. Wexford. A range of species are reported from these reefs including: *Enteromorpha* sp., *Ulva* sp., *Fucus* spp., *Polysiphonia* sp., *Chondrus crispus, Palmaria palmate, Nemertea* sp., *Actinia equine, Patella vulgate, Littorina* spp. and *Mytilus edulis*.

The dunes at Duncannon are dominated by Marram (*Ammophila arenaria*) with the Red Data Book species Wild Clary/Sage (*Salvia verbenaca*) also present. The rocks around Duncannon

ford have a rich flora of seaweeds typical of a moderately exposed shore and the cliffs support a number of coastal species on ledges, including Thrift, Rock Samphire (*Crithmum maritimum*) and Buck's-horn Plantain (*Plantago coronopus*).

Other habitats which occur throughout the site include wet grassland, marsh, reedswamp, improved grassland, arable land, quarries, coniferous plantations, deciduous woodland, scrub and ponds.

Seventeen Red Data Book plant species have been recorded within the site; Killarney Fern, Divided Sedge, Clustered Clover (*Trifolium glomeratum*), Basil Thyme (*Acinos arvensis*), Red Hemp-nettle (*Galeopsis angustifolia*), Borrer's Saltmarsh-grass, Meadow Barley, Opposite-leaved Pondweed (*Groenlandia densa*), Meadow Saffron/Autumn Crocus (*Colchicum autumnale*), Wild Clary/Sage, Nettle-leaved Bellflower, Saw-wort (*Serratula tinctoria*), Bird Cherry (*Prunus padus*), Blue Fleabane (*Erigeron acer*), Fly Orchid (*Ophrys insectifera*), Ivy Broomrape (*Orobanche hederae*) and Greater Broomrape (*Orobanche rapum-genistae*). Of these, the first nine are protected under the Flora (Protection) Order, 2015. Plants which do not have a wide distribution in Ireland are also found in the site including Thin-spiked Wood-sedge, Field Garlic (*Allium oleraceum*) and Summer Snowflake.

The site is very important for the presence of a number of E.U. Habitats Directive Annex II animal species including Freshwater Pearl Mussel (both *M. margaritifera* and *M. durrovensis*), White-clawed Crayfish, Salmon, Twaite Shad, the three lamprey species, Desmoulin's whorl snail and Otter. This is the only site in the world for the hard water form of the Freshwater Pearl Mussel, *M. durrovensis*, and one of only a handful of spawning grounds in the country for Twaite Shad. The upper stretches of the Barrow and Nore are very important for spawning.

The site supports many other important animal species. Those which are listed in the Irish Red Data Book include Daubenton's Bat, Badger, Irish Hare and Frog. In addition to Freshwater Pearl Mussel, the site also supports two other mussel species, *Anodonta anatina* and *A. cygnea*.

The site is of ornithological importance for a number of E.U. Birds Directive Annex I species, including Greenland White-fronted Goose, Whooper Swan, Bewick's Swan, Bar-tailed Godwit, Peregrine and Kingfisher. Nationally important numbers of Golden Plover and Bar-tailed Godwit are found during the winter. Wintering flocks of migratory birds are seen in Shanahoe Marsh, the Curragh, Goul Marsh and along the Barrow Estuary in Waterford Harbour. There is also an extensive autumnal roosting site in the reedbeds of the Barrow Estuary used by Swallows before they leave the country.

Land use at the site consists mainly of agricultural activities. The spreading of slurry and fertiliser poses a threat to the water quality and to the populations of Annex II species within the site. Many of the woodlands along the rivers belong to old estates and support many non-native species. Little active woodland management occurs. Fishing is a main tourist attraction along stretches of the main rivers and their tributaries, with both commercial and leisure fishing taking place. Other recreational activities such as boating, golfing and walking are also popular. Several industrial developments, which discharge into the river, border the site.

The main threats to the site and current damaging activities include high inputs of nutrients into the river system from agricultural run-off and several sewage plants, over-grazing within woodland areas and invasion by non-native species. The water quality of the site remains vulnerable. Good quality water is necessary to maintain the populations of the Annex II animal species listed above. Good quality is dependent on controlling fertilisation of the grasslands. It

also requires that sewage be properly treated before discharge. Drainage activities in the catchment can lead to flash floods which can damage the many Annex II species present. Capital and maintenance dredging within the lower reaches of the system pose a threat to migrating fish species such as lamprey and shad. Land reclamation also poses a threat to the salt meadows and the populations of legally protected species therein.

Overall, the site is of considerable conservation significance for the occurrence of good examples of habitats and of populations of plant and animal species that are listed on Annexes I and II of the E.U. Habitats Directive. Furthermore, it is of high conservation value for the populations of bird species that use it.




Figure 5.1: River Barrow and River Nore SAC

The conservation objectives for the SAC site are to maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the qualifying interests. Site specific conservation objectives (SSCOs) for the qualifying interests of the River Barrow and River Nore SAC are provided in the table below, where available from the NPWS document "Conservation Objectives: River Barrow and River Nore SAC 002162" (NPWS, 2011).

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES				
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES	
[1130] Estuaries				
Habitat area	Hectares	The permanent habitat area is stable or increasing, subject to natural processes	Habitat area was estimated using OSI data and the defined Transitional Water Body area under the Water Framework Directive as 3856ha	
Community distribution	Hectares	The following sediment communities should be maintained in a natural condition: Muddy estuarine community complex; Sand to muddy fine sand community complex; Fine sand with <i>Fabulina</i> <i>fabula</i> community.		
Community extent	Hectares	Maintain the natural extent of the <i>Sabellaria</i> alveolata reef, subject to natural process		
[1140] Tidal Mudflats and Sand	flats			
Habitat area	Hectares	The permanent habitat area is stable or increasing, subject to natural processes.	Habitat area was estimated using OSI data as 926ha	
Community distribution	Hectares	The following sediment communities should be maintained in a natural condition: Muddy estuarine community complex; Sand to muddy fine sand community complex		
[1170] Reefs		· · · · · ·		
None Specified	-	-		
[1310] Salicornia Mud				
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession.	The Ringville sub-site was mapped and no additional areas of potential	
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes	Salicornia mudflat were identified from an	
Physical structure: sediment supply	Presence/absence of physical barriers	Maintain or where necessary restore natural circulation of sediments and organic matter, without any physical obstructions	examination of aerial photographs, giving a total estimated area of 0.03ha. Note further unsurveyed areas maybe present	
Physical structure: flooding regime	Hectares flooded; frequency	Maintain natural tidal regime	within the site	
Physical structure: creeks and pans	Occurrence	Maintain/restore creek and pan structure, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession		

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES			
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES
Vegetation structure: zonation	Occurrence	Maintain range of saltmarsh habitat zonations including transitional zones, subject to natural processes including erosion and succession	
Vegetation structure: vegetation height	Centimetres	Maintain structural variation within sward	
Vegetation structure: vegetation cover	Percentage cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain more than 90% of area outside creeks vegetated.	
Vegetation composition: typical species and sub-communities	Percentage cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain range of sub- communities with typical species listed in Saltmarsh Monitoring Project	
Vegetation structure: negative indicator species: Spartina anglica	Hectares	No significant expansion of Spartina. No new sites for this species and an annual spread of less than 1% where it is already known to occur	
[1330] Atlantic Salt Meadows			
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession	Four sub-sites were mapped and additional areas of potential saltmarsh
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes	were identified from an examination of
Physical structure: sediment supply	Presence/absence of physical barriers	Maintain/restore natural circulation of sediments and organic matter, without any physical obstructions	aerial photographs, giving a total estimated area of Atlantic salt meadow of 35.07ha. Note further unsurveyed areas
Physical structure: flooding regime	Hectares flooded; frequency	Maintain natural tidal regime	maybe present within the site
Physical structure: creeks and pans	Occurrence	Maintain/restore creek and pan structure, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession	
Vegetation structure: zonation	Occurrence	Maintain range of saltmarsh habitat zonations including transitional zones, subject to natural processes including erosion and succession.	
Vegetation structure: vegetation height	Centimetres	Maintain structural variation within sward	

TABLE 5.1 River Barrow and River Nore SAC Conservation Objectives			
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES
Vegetation structure: vegetation cover	Percentage cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain more than 90% of area outside creeks vegetated	
Vegetation composition: typical species and sub-communities	Percentage cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain range of sub- communities with typical species listed in Saltmarsh Monitoring Project	
Vegetation structure: negative indicator species: Spartina anglica	Hectares	No significant expansion of <i>Spartina</i> . No new sites for this species and an annual spread of less than 1% where it is already known to occur	
[1410] Mediterranean Salt Mea	dows		
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession. For sub-sites mapped: Dunbrody Abbey - 0.08ha, Rochestown - 0.04ha, Ringville - 6.70ha	Three sub-sites were mapped and no additional areas of potential saltmarsh were identified from an examination of aerial photographs, giving a total estimated
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes	area of Mediterranean salt meadow of
Physical structure: sediment supply	Presence/absence of physical barriers	Maintain or where necessary restore natural circulation of sediments and organic matter, without any physical obstructions	6.82ha. Note further unsurveyed areas maybe present within the site
Physical structure: flooding regime	Hectares flooded; frequency	Maintain natural tidal regime	
Physical structure: creeks and pans	Occurrence	Maintain/restore creek and pan structure, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession	
Vegetation structure: zonation	Occurrence	Maintain range of saltmarsh habitat zonations including transitional zones, subject to natural processes including erosion and succession	
Vegetation structure: vegetation height	Centimetres	Maintain structural variation within sward	
Vegetation structure: vegetation cover	% cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain more than 90% of area outside creeks vegetated.	
Vegetation composition: typical species and sub-communities	% cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain range of sub- communities with typical species listed in Saltmarsh Monitoring Project	

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES			
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES
Vegetation structure: negative indicator species: Spartina anglica	Hectares	No significant expansion of <i>Spartina</i> . No new sites for this species and an annual spread of less than 1% where it is already known to occur	
[3260] Floating River Vegetation	n		
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes	The full distribution of this habitat and its
Habitat area	Kilometres	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes	sub-types in this site is currently unknown The basis of the selection of the SAC for
Hydrological regime: river flow	Metres per second	Maintain appropriate hydrological regimes	the habitat is the presence of an excellent
Hydrological regime: groundwater discharge	Metres per second	The groundwater flow to the habitat should be permanent and sufficient to maintain tufa formation	example of the vegetation assemblage associated with tidal reaches of large rivers between Enniscorthy and Polladerg
Substratum composition: particle size range	Millimetres	The substratum should be dominated by large particles and free from fine sediments	townland. Due to regular disturbance (through variations in flow), river macrophytes rarely reach a climax condition but frequently occur as transient communities. A natural (relatively unmodified) flow regime is required for both plant communities and channel geomorphology to be in favourable condition, exhibiting typical dynamics for the river type
Water chemistry: minerals	Milligrammes per litre	The groundwater and surface water should have sufficient concentrations of minerals to allow deposition and persistence of tufa deposits	
Water quality: suspended sediment	Milligrammes per litre	The concentration of suspended solids in the water column should be sufficiently low to prevent excessive deposition of fine sediments	
Water quality: nutrients	Milligrammes per litre	The concentration of nutrients in the water column should be sufficiently low to prevent changes in species composition or habitat condition	
Vegetation composition: typical species	Occurrence	Typical species of the relevant habitat sub-type should be present and in good condition	
Floodplain connectivity	Area	The area of active floodplain at and upstream of the habitat should be maintained	
[4030] Dry Heath			
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline from current habitat distribution, subject to natural processes	Spatial extent currently unmapped but indicated as occurring on the steep, free-
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes. Habitat area is not known but estimated	draining, river valley sides especially the Barrow and tributaries in the foothills of

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES				
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES	
		as less than 400ha of the area of the SAC, occurring in dispersed locations	the Blackstairs Mountains.	
Physical structure: free- draining, acid, low nutrient soil; rock outcrops	Occurrence	No significant change in soil nutrient status, subject to natural processes. No increase or decrease in area of natural rock outcrop	Dry heath in this SAC occurs on free- draining nutrient poor soils and is often characterised by gorse and open acid	
Vegetation structure: sub- shrub indicator species	Percentage cover	Cover of characteristic sub- shrub indicator species at least 25%: gorse ( <i>Ulex europaeus</i> ) and where rocky outcrops occur bilberry ( <i>Vaccinium</i> <i>myrtillus</i> ) and woodrush ( <i>Luzula sylvatica</i> ). Some rock outcrops support English stonecrop ( <i>Sedum</i> <i>anglicum</i> ), sheep's bit ( <i>Jasione montana</i> ) and wild madder ( <i>Rubia peregrina</i> ) as well as important moss and lichen assemblages	grassland areas. And locally bilberry and woodrush. Bracken appears to be quite dense in places and before any management action is considered its rate of spread needs to be established as well as its threat, if any, to other dry heath	
Vegetation structure: senescent gorse	Percentage cover	Cover of senescent gorse less than 50%	species and its potential value to important fauna (e.g. Twite).	
Vegetation structure: browsing	Percentage cover	Long shoots of bilberry with signs of browsing collectively less than 33%	Broomrape is dependent on gorse at this	
Vegetation structure: native trees and shrubs	Percentage cover	Cover of scattered native trees and shrub less than 20%	site as it is parasitic on gorse roots. It is recorded as occurring on steep slopes	
Vegetation composition: positive indicator species	Number	Number of positive indicator species at least 2 e.g. gorse and associated dry heath/ acid grassland flora	above New Ross. A small area of excellent dry coastal heath at Ballyhack is	
Vegetation structure: positive indicator species	Percentage cover	Cover of positive indicator species at least 60%. This should include plant species characteristic of dry heath in this SAC including gorse, bilberry and associated acid grassland flora	interspersed with patches rock and of dry lowland grassland and has a high species diversity. Notably there is an excellent range of Clover ( <i>Trifolium</i> ) species	
Vegetation composition: bryophyte and non-crustose lichen species	Number	Number of bryophyte or non- crustose lichen species present at least 2	including the legally protected clustered clover, a species known only from one other site in Ireland. Also <i>T</i> .	
Vegetation composition: bracken ( <i>Pteridium aquilinum</i> )	Percentage cover	Cover of bracken less than 10%	ornithopodiodes, T. striatum and Torilus nodosa.	
Vegetation structure: weedy negative indicator species	Percentage cover	Cover of agricultural weed species (negative indicator species) less than 1%		

TABLE 5.1 River Barrow and River Nore SAC Conservation Objectives			
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES
Vegetation composition: non- native species	Percentage cover	Cover of non-native species less than 1%.	
Vegetation composition: rare/scarce heath species	Location, area and number	No decline in distribution or population sizes of rare, threatened or scarce species, including Greater Broomrape ( <i>Orobanche rapum-genistae</i> ) and the legally protected clustered clover ( <i>Trifolium glomeratum</i> )	
Vegetation structure: disturbed bare ground	Percentage cover	Cover of disturbed bare ground less than 10% (but if peat soil less than 5%)	
Vegetation structure: burning	Occurrence	No signs of burning within sensitive areas	
[6430] Hydrophilous Tall Herb	Communities		
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes	Distribution of this habitat in this site is
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes	currently unknown. Considered to occur in association with some riverside
Hydrological regime: Flooding depth/height of water table	Metres	Maintain appropriate hydrological regimes	woodlands, unmanaged river islands and in narrow bands along the floodplain of
Vegetation structure: sward height	Centimetres	30-70% of sward is between 40 and 150cm in height	slow-flowing stretches of river.
Vegetation composition: broadleaf herb: grass ratio	Percentage	Broadleaf herb component of vegetation between 40 and 90%	This habitat requires winter inundation, which results in deposition of naturally
Vegetation composition: typical species	Number	At least 5 positive indicator species present	nutrient-rich sediment.
Vegetation composition: negative indicator species	Occurrence	Negative indicator species, particularly non-native invasive species, absent or under control- NB Indian balsam ( <i>Impatiens glandulifera</i> ), monkeyflower ( <i>Mimulus guttatus</i> ), Japanese knotweed ( <i>Fallopia japonica</i> ) and giant hogweed ( <i>Heracleum mantegazzianum</i> )	Bare ground, due to natural indundation processes may often be present. Attribute and target based on the Irish Semi-natural Grassland Survey (O'Neill et al., 2010)

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES				
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES	
[7220] Petrifying Springs				
Habitat area	Square metres	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes	Full distribution of this habitat in this site is currently unknown. It has been	
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline	described in woodlands at Dysart,	
Hydrological regime: height of water table; water flow	Metres; metres per second	Maintain appropriate hydrological regimes	between Thomastown and Inistioge.	
Water quality	Water chemistry measures	Maintain oligotrophic and calcareous conditions	Current hydrological regimes are unknown. Petrifying springs rely on	
			permanent irrigation, usually from upwelling groundwater sources or seepage sources.	
Vegetation composition: typical species	Occurrence	Maintain typical species	Water chemistry is currently unknown. Water supply to petrifying springs is characteristically oligotrophic and calcareous The bryophytes <i>Cratoneuron commutatum</i> and <i>Eucladium verticillatum</i> are diagnostic of this habitat.	
[91A0] Old Oak Woodlands				
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes, at least 85.08ha for sub-sites surveyed	The sizes of at least some of the existing woodlands need to be increased in order	
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline.	to reduce habitat fragmentation and	
Woodland size	Hectares	Area stable or increasing. Where topographically possible, "large" woods at least 25ha in size and "small" woods at least 3ha in size	benefit those species requiring 'deep' woodland conditions.	
Woodland structure: cover and height	Percentage and metres	Diverse structure with a relatively closed canopy containing mature trees; subcanopy layer with semi- mature trees and shrubs; and well-developed herb layer	Oak regenerates poorly. In suitable sites ash can regenerate in large numbers although few seedlings reach pole size.	
Woodland structure: community diversity and extent	Hectares	Maintain diversity and extent of community types	Mature and veteran trees are important habitats for bryophytes, lichens, saproxylic	

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES				
ATTRIBUTE	ATTRIBUTE MEASURE TARGET		SELECTED NOTES	
Woodland structure: natural regeneration	Seedling:sapling:pole ratio	Seedlings, saplings and pole age-classes occur in adequate proportions to ensure survival of woodland canopy	organisms and some bird species. Their retention is important to ensure continuity of habitats/niches and	
Woodland structure: dead wood	m <sup>3</sup> per hectare; number per hectare	At least 30m <sup>3</sup> /ha of fallen timber greater than 10cm diameter; 30 snags/ha; both categories should include stems greater than 40cm diameter	propagule sources. Dead wood is a valuable resource and an integral part of a healthy, functioning	
Woodland structure: veteran trees	Number per hectare	No decline	woodland ecosystem.	
Woodland structure: indicators of local distinctiveness	Occurrence	No decline	The following are the most common invasive species in this woodland type:	
Vegetation composition: native tree cover	Percentage	No decline. Native tree cover not less than 95%	Beech (Fagus sylvatica), Rhododendron (Rhododendron ponticum), Cherry laurel	
Vegetation composition: typical species	Occurrence	A variety of typical native species present, depending on woodland type, including oak ( <i>Quercus petraea</i> ) and birch ( <i>Betula pubescens</i> )	(Prunus laurocerasus)	
Vegetation composition: negative indicator species	Occurrence	Negative indicator species, particularly non-native invasive species, absent or under control		
[91E0] Alluvial Forests	-			
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes, at least 181.54ha for sites surveyed	The sizes of at least some of the existing woodlands need to be increased in order	
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline.	to reduce habitat fragmentation and	
Woodland size	Hectares	Area stable or increasing. Where topographically possible, "large" woods at least 25ha in size and "small" woods at least 3ha in size	benefit those species requiring 'deep' woodland conditions.	
Woodland structure: cover and height	Percentage and metres	Diverse structure with a relatively closed canopy containing mature trees; subcanopy layer with semi- mature trees and shrubs; and well-developed herb layer	Alder and oak regenerate poorly. Ash often regenerates in large numbers although few seedlings reach pole size.	
Woodland structure: community diversity and extent	Hectares	Maintain diversity and extent of community types	Dead wood is a valuable resource and an integral part of a healthy, functioning	

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES			
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES
Woodland structure: natural regeneration	Seedling:sapling:pole ratio	Seedlings, saplings and pole age-classes occur in adequate proportions to ensure survival of woodland canopy	woodland ecosystem. Mature and veteran trees are important
Hydrological regime: Flooding depth/height of water table	Metres	Appropriate hydrological regime necessary for maintenance of alluvial vegetation	habitats for bryophytes, lichens, saproxylic organisms and some bird species. Their
Woodland structure: dead wood	m <sup>3</sup> per hectare; number per hectare	At least 30m <sup>3</sup> /ha of fallen timber greater than 10cm diameter; 30 snags/ha; both categories should include stems greater than 40cm diameter (greater than 20cm diameter in the case of alder)	retention is important to ensure continuity of habitats/niches and propagule sources.
Woodland structure: veteran trees	Number per hectare	No decline	The following are the most common invasive species in this woodland type:
Woodland structure: indicators of local distinctiveness	Occurrence	No decline	Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus), Beech (Fagus sylvatica), Rhododendron
Vegetation composition: native tree cover	Percentage	No decline. Native tree cover not less than 95%	( <i>Rhododendron ponticum</i> ), Cherry laurel ( <i>Prunus laurocerasus</i> ), Dogwood ( <i>Cornus</i>
Vegetation composition: typical species	Occurrence	A variety of typical native species present, depending on woodland type, including ash ( <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> ) alder ( <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> ), willows ( <i>Salix</i> spp) and locally, oak ( <i>Quercus</i> <i>robur</i> )	sericea), Himalayan honeysuckle (Leycesteria formosa) and Himalayan balsam (Impatiens grandiflora).
Vegetation composition: negative indicator species	Occurrence	Negative indicator species, particularly non-native invasive species, absent or under control	
[1016] Desmoulin's Whorl Snail			
Distribution: occupied sites	Number	No decline. Two known sites: Borris Bridge, Co. Carlow S711503; Boston Bridge, Kilnaseer S338774, Co. Laois.	
Population size: adults	Number per positive sample	At least 5 adults snails in at least 50% of samples	
Population density	Percentage positive samples	Adult snails present in at least 60% of samples per site	
Area of occupancy	Hectares	Minimum of 1ha of suitable habitat per site	

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES			
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES
Habitat quality: vegetation	Percentage of samples with suitable vegetation	90% of samples in habitat classes I and II	
Habitat quality: soil moisture levels	Percentage of samples with appropriate soil moisture levels	90% of samples in moisture class 3-4	
[1029] Freshwater Pearl Musse		•	
The status of the FPM as a qualify under review	ying Annex II species for	the River Barrow and River Nore SAC is currently	
[1092] White-clawed Crayfish			
Distribution	Occurrence	No reduction from baseline	The crayfish is present almost throughout this SAC. The records extend as far downstream as Thomastown on the Nore
Population structure: recruitment	% occurrence of juveniles and females with eggs	Juveniles and/or females with eggs in at least 50% of positive samples	and Graiguenamanagh on the Barrow. Alien crayfish species are identified as
Negative indicator species	Occurrence	No alien crayfish species	major direct threat to this species and as disease vector. Crayfish need high habitat heterogeneity.
Disease	Occurrence	No instances of disease	Larger crayfish must have stones to hide under, or an earthen bank in which to burrow. Hatchlings shelter in vegetation,
Water quality	EPA Q value	At least Q3-4 at all sites sampled by EPA	gravel and among fine tree-roots. Smaller crayfish are typically found among weeds
Habitat quality: heterogeneity	Occurrence of positive habitat features	No decline in heterogeneity or habitat quality	and debris in shallow water. Larger juveniles in particular may also be found among cobbles and detritus such as leaf litter. These conditions must be available on the whole length of occupied habitat
[1095] Sea Lamprey			
Distribution: extent of anadromy	% of river accessible	Greater than 75% of main stem length of rivers accessible from estuary	Artificial barriers can block or cause difficulties to lampreys' upstream

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES				
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES	
Population structure of juveniles	Number of age/size groups	At least three age/size groups present	migration, thereby limiting species to lower stretches and restricting access to	
Juvenile density in fine sediment	Juveniles/m <sup>2</sup>	Juvenile density at least 1/m <sup>2</sup>	spawning areas.	
Extent and distribution of spawning habitat	m <sup>2</sup> and occurrence	No decline in extent and distribution of spawning beds	Juveniles burrow in areas of fine sediment in still water.	
Availability of juvenile habitat	Number of positive sites in 3rd order channels (and greater), downstream of spawning areas	More than 50% of sample sites positive	Lampreys spawn in clean gravels.	
[1096] Brook Lamprey				
Distribution	% of river accessible	Access to all water courses down to first order streams	Artificial barriers can block lampreys' upstream migration, thereby limiting	
Population structure of juveniles	Number of age/size groups	At least three age/size groups of brook/river lamprey present	species to lower stretches and restricting access to spawning areas.	
Juvenile density in fine sediment	Juveniles/m <sup>2</sup>	Mean catchment juvenile density of brook/river lamprey at least 2/m <sup>2</sup>	It is impossible to distinguish	
Extent and distribution of spawning habitat	m <sup>2</sup> and occurrence	No decline in extent and distribution of spawning beds	between brook and river lamprey juveniles in the field.	
Availability of juvenile habitat	Number of positive sites in 2nd order channels (and greater), downstream of spawning areas	More than 50% of sample sites positive	Juveniles burrow in areas of fine sediment in still water. Lampreys spawn in clean gravels.	
[1099] River Lamprey				
Distribution: extent of anadromy	% of river accessible	Greater than 75% of main stem and major tributaries down to second order accessible from estuary	Artificial barriers can block lampreys' upstream migration, thereby limiting species to lower stretches and restricting	
Population structure of juveniles	Number of age/size groups	At least three age/size groups of river/brook lamprey present	access to spawning areas.	

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES				
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES	
Juvenile density in fine sediment	Juveniles/m <sup>2</sup>	Mean catchment juvenile density of brook/river lamprey at least 2/m <sup>2</sup>	It is impossible to distinguish between brook and river lamprey	
Extent and distribution of spawning habitat	m <sup>2</sup> and occurrence	No decline in extent and distribution of spawning beds	juveniles in the field.	
Availability of juvenile habitat	Number of positive sites in 2nd order channels (and greater), downstream of spawning areas	More than 50% of sample sites positive	Juveniles burrow in areas of fine sediment in still water. Lampreys spawn in clean gravels.	
[1103] Twaite Shad				
Distribution: extent of anadromy	% of river accessible	Greater than 75% of main stem length of rivers accessible from estuary	In some catchments, artificial barriers block twaite shads' upstream migration,	
Population structure- age classes	Number of age classes	More than one age class present	thereby limiting species to lower stretches	
Extent and distribution of spawning habitat	m <sup>2</sup> and occurrence	No decline in extent and distribution of spawning habitats	and restricting access to spawning areas. Regular breeding has been confirmed in	
Water quality- oxygen levels	Milligrammes per litre	No lower than 5mg/l	the River Barrow in recent years, but not in the Nore.	
Spawning habitat quality: Filamentous algae; macrophytes; sediment	Occurrence	Maintain stable gravel substrate with very little fine material, free of filamentous algal (macroalgae) growth and macrophyte (rooted higher plants) growth		
[1106] Atlantic Salmon				
Distribution: extent of anadromy	% of river accessible	100% of river channels down to second order accessible from estuary	Artificial barriers block salmons' upstreammigration, thereby limiting species tolower stretches and restricting access tospawning areas.Smolt abundance can be negativelyaffected by a number of impacts such asestuarine pollution, predation and sea lice(Lepeophtheirus salmonis).	
Adult spawning fish	Number	Conservation Limit (CL) for each system consistently exceeded		
Salmon fry abundance	Number of fry/5 minutes electrofishing	Maintain or exceed 0+ fry mean catchment-wide abundance threshold value. Currently set at 17 salmon fry/5 min sampling		

TABLE 5.1 River Barrow and River Nore SAC Conservation Objectives				
ATTRIBUTE	ATTRIBUTE MEASURE TARGET		SELECTED NOTES	
			Salmon spawn in clean gravels.	
Out-migrating smolt abundance	Number	No significant decline	Q values based on triennial water quality	
Number and distribution of redds	Number and occurrence	No decline in number and distribution of spawning redds due to anthropogenic causes	surveys carried out by the EPA.	
Water quality	EPA Q value	At least Q4 at all sites sampled by EPA		
[1355] Otter				
Distribution	% positive survey sites	No significant decline	Otters need lying up areas throughout their territory where they are secure from	
Extent of terrestrial habitat	Hectares	No significant decline. Area mapped and calculated as 122.8ha above high water mark (HWM); 1136.0ha along river banks / around ponds	Broad diet that varies locally and seasonally, but dominated by fish, in	
Extent of marine habitat	Hectares	No significant decline. Area mapped and calculated as 857.7ha	particular salmonids, eels and sticklebacks in freshwater and wrasse and rockling in	
Extent of freshwater (river) habitat	Kilometres	No significant decline. Length mapped and calculated as 616.6km	coastal waters	
Extent of freshwater (lake) habitat	Hectares	No significant decline. Area mapped and calculated as 2.6ha	Otters will utilise freshwater habitats from estuary to headwaters within	
Couching sites and holts	Number	No significant decline	80m of the shoreline.	
Fish biomass available	Kilograms	No significant decline		
[1421] Killarney Fern				
Distribution	Location	No decline. Three locations known, with three colonies of gametophyte and one sporophyte colony	'Juvenile' sporophytes, which appear as small entire fronds, are known from this site. However, it is unknown whether they are due to apogamous growth or sexual reproduction.	
Population size	Number	Maintain at least three colonies of gametophyte, and at least one sporophyte colony of over 35 fronds		

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES			
ATTRIBUTE	ATTRIBUTE MEASURE TARGET		SELECTED NOTES
Population structure: juvenile fronds	Occurrence	At least one of the locations to have a population structure comprising sporophyte, unfurling fronds, 'juvenile' sporophyte and gametophyte generations	
Habitat extent	m²	No loss of suitable habitat, such as shaded rock crevices, caves or gullies in or near to, known colonies. No loss of woodland canopy at or near to known locations	
Hydrological conditions: visible water	Occurrence	Maintain hydrological conditions at the locations so that all colonies are in dripping or damp seeping habitats, and water is visible at all locations	
Hydrological conditions: humidity	Number of dessicated fronds	No increase. Presence of dessicated sporophyte fronds or gametophyte mats indicates conditions are unsuitable	
Light levels: shading	Percentage	No changes due to anthropogenic impacts	
Invasive species	Occurrence	Absent or under control	
[1990] Nore Freshwater Pearl M	Iussel		
Distribution	Kilometres	Maintain at 15.5km.	The population stretches from Poorman's
Population size: adult mussels	Number	Restore to 5,000 adult mussels	Bridge (S407859) to Lismaine Bridge
Population structure: recruitment	Percentage per size class	Restore to at least 20% of population no more than 65mm in length; and at least 5% of population no more than 30mm in length	(S442660), with most of the population found between Poorman's Bridge and the Avonmore Creamery above Ballyragget (S 440 722).
Population structure: adult mortality	Percentage	No more than 5% decline from previous number of live adults counted; dead shells less than 1% of the adult population and scattered in distribution	The extant wild population of Nore freshwater pearl mussel is estimated as 300 adult individuals.
Habitat extent	Kilometres	Restore suitable habitat in length of river corresponding to distribution target (15.5km) and any additional stretches necessary for salmonid spawning	Mussels of no more than 65mm are considered 'young mussels' and may be found buried in the substratum and/or beneath adult mussels. Mussels of no more than 30mm are 'juvenile mussels'

TABLE 5.1 RIVER BARROW AND RIVER NORE SAC CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES				
ATTRIBUTE	MEASURE	TARGET	SELECTED NOTES	
Water quality: Macroinvertebrate s and phytobenthos (diatoms)	Ecological quality ratio (EQR)	Restore water quality- macroinvertebrates: EQR greater than 0.90; phytobenthos: EQR greater than 0.93	and are always buried in the substratum. This species is known not to have reproduced successfully in the River Nore	
Substratum quality: Filamentous algae (macroalgae), macrophytes (rooted higher plants)	Percentage	Restore substratum quality- filamentous algae: absent or trace (<5%)	since 1970. Juvenile mussels require full oxygenation while buried in gravel.	
Substratum quality: sediment	Occurrence	Restore substratum quality- stable cobble and gravel substrate with very little fine material; no artificially elevated levels of fine sediment.	Salmonid fish are host to the larval form of freshwater pearl mussels and thus, they are essential to the completion of the life	
Substratum quality: oxygen availability	Redox potential	Restore to no more than 20% decline from water column to 5cm depth in substrate	cycle. As native brown trout appear to be favoured by the Nore freshwater pearl	
Hydrological regime: flow variability	Metres per second	Restore appropriate hydrological regimes	mussel, it is particularly important that these are not outcompeted by stocked	
Host fish	Number	Maintain sufficient juvenile salmonids to host glochidial larvae	fish.	

# **River Barrow and River Nore SAC Conservation Status**

According to the Habitat's Directive, favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when:

- Its natural range and areas it covers within that range are stable or increasing, and
- The specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future, and
- The conservation status of its typical species is favourable as defined below.

According to the Habitat's Directive, favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when:

- Population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats, and
- The natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future, and
- There is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.

The conservation statuses for the qualifying interests of the River Barrow and River Nore SAC site are outlined below.

CODE	QUALIFYING INTEREST	NATIONAL CONSERVATION STATUS*	SITE LEVEL Conservation Status**
1130	Estuaries	Inadequate	Good
1140	Tidal Mudflats and Sandflats	Inadequate	Good
1170	Reefs	Inadequate	Excellent
1310	Salicornia Mud	Favourable	Good
1330	Atlantic Salt Meadows	Inadequate	Excellent
1410	Mediterranean Salt Meadows	Inadequate	Excellent
3260	Floating River Vegetation	Inadequate	Good
4030	Dry Heath	Bad	Good
6430	Hydrophilous Tall Herb Communities	Bad	Good
7220	Petrifying Springs	Inadequate	Good
91A0	Old Oak Woodlands	Bad	Good
91E0	Alluvial Forests	Bad	Excellent
1016	Desmoulin's Whorl Snail	Inadequate	Good
1029	Freshwater Pearl Mussel	Bad	Good
1092	White-clawed Crayfish	Bad	Excellent
1095	Sea Lamprey	Bad	Good
1096	Brook Lamprey	Favourable	Good
1099	River Lamprey	Unknown	Good
1103	Twaite Shad	Bad	Good
1106	Atlantic Salmon	Inadequate	Good
1355	Otter	Favourable	Excellent
1421	Killarney Fern	Favourable	Excellent
1990	Nore Freshwater Pearl Mussel	Bad	Reduced

\*Sourced from the Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland (NPWS, 2019b and 2019c). \*\*Sourced from NPWS (2020)

## 6.0 ASSESSMENT OF LIKELY IMPACTS

#### 6.1 DISTURBANCE TO PROTECTED HABITATS AND SPECIES

The proposed development does not directly impinge on any part of a European site, and as such would not be expected to have any in-situ effects upon a protected site through loss or destruction of habitat, fragmentation of habitat, disturbance of habitat or direct reduction in species density. See Appendix A for summary of the Qualifying Interests and summary of potential impact from the proposed site.

It is not considered that the proposed development site would contain the habitats or species for which the River Barrow and River Nore SAC has been designated. No areas of woodland exist on the development site; therefore, the site does not contain any habitat which would have potential links to Old Oak Woodlands [91A0] or Alluvial Forests [91E0]. The closest Old Oak Woodlands is approximately 33km south (39km downstream hydrologically) near Graiguenamanagh and the closest Alluvial Forests is approximately 4km upstream (3.5km hydrologically) north of Carlow Town.

No areas of heath or marsh / swamp habitats occur on the development site; therefore, the site does not contain any habitat which would have potential links to Dry Heath [4030] or Hydrophilous Tall Herb Communities [6430]. Dry Heath is currently unmapped however it is known to be along the River Barrow and tributaries in the Blackstairs Mountains. This habitat is not within or adjacent the site boundary and the proposed development would not impact on this habitat. Hydrophilous Tall Herb Communities distribution is currently unknown. Considered to occur in association with some riverside woodlands, unmanaged river islands and in narrow bands along the floodplain of slow-flowing stretches of river. This habitat is not found within the proposed site and the proposed development would not impact on this habitat.

The proposed development site is located a considerable distance from the tidal stretches of the River Barrow (approximately 41km hydrologically), thus qualifying interests associated with saltwater and tidal conditions would not be present. The River Barrow would contain aquatic freshwater species of conservation value. The proposed development will not require any construction works within this watercourse or riparian zone. During the operational phase there would be no significant impact as stormwater will be directed to the existing drainage network. During the site assessment, no Killarney Fern [1421] was present with the closest records approximately 33km (39km hydrologically) to the south (downstream of Graiguenamanagh). In the absence of swamp, fen and marsh habitat at the site, and in the absence of historic records, it is not considered that the proposed development site would be suitable to support populations of Desmoulin's Whorl Snail. The closest records for this species are approximately 28km (29km hydrologically) downstream near Borris.

While no evidence of otter (including holts, slides, spraints and tracks) was recorded during the ecological site assessment, given that the development site is located 172m from the River Barrow, it is likely that otters are present within the general area. NBDC has records for otter downstream of the River Barrow approximately 465m (hydrologically) upstream of the River Barrow approximately 1km (hydrologically). The development site is comprised of modified habitats which would be of limited value to otter, should this species be present within the vicinity. Therefore, the development would not have a significant potential impact upon otter due to habitat loss or fragmentation, given the limited land-take required, and of modified habitats, and given the availability of more suitable otter habitat in the general area.

It is not envisaged that protected species would be adversely impacted upon by the development due to noise generated by the proposed development as the surrounding area is located within an urban setting. Fauna in the area would be accustomed to human generated noise from residential and recreational activities commonly audible within urban areas. While there would be increased noise emissions during the construction phase of the development, these would not be considered to pose a significant risk owing to the transient nature of works and the small scale of proposed works. Construction works will be carried out mainly during daylight hours away from the River Barrow and within the site, therefore works would not cause significant disturbance to crepuscular/nocturnal species foraging at the river. Fauna in the area would also be accustomed to noise from vehicular traffic during the operational phase of the development. Earthworks would be confined to the site with the main activities being any additional foundations and connections to the drainage network. Any topsoil at the proposed site will be reused for landscaping and any waste material removed by a licenced waste contractor to a suitable licenced waste facility.

The potential disturbance on protected habitats and species due to dust during the construction phase would not be considered significant, given the transient nature of construction works and the scale of the proposed development. It is not considered that the operational phase of the development would have the potential to adversely impact upon designated sites due to air emissions given the residential nature of the development.

It is therefore considered that the proposed development would not result in any significant risk to the protected habitats and species of the River Barrow and River Nore SAC due to habitat fragmentation or loss, disturbance or reduction in species density.

#### 6.2 INVASIVE SPECIES

Under Regulation 49(2) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 477 of 2011), save in accordance with a licence granted under paragraph (7), any person who plants, disperses, allows or causes to disperse, spreads or otherwise causes to grow in any place specified in relation to any plant which is included in Part 1 of the Third Schedule shall be guilty of an offence. Materials containing invasive species such as Japanese Knotweed are considered "controlled waste", and, as such, there are legal restrictions on their handling and disposal. Under Regulation 49(7) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, it is a legal requirement to obtain a license to move "vector materials" listed in the Third Schedule, Part 3.

Table 6.1:	National Biodiversity Data Centre records of Third Schedule invasive species
	within 10km square (Tetrad – S77) of the proposed development.

THIRD SCHEDULE INVASIVE FLORA				
Canadian Waterweed (Elodea canadensis)	Indian Balsam (Impatiens glandulifera)			
Japanese Knotweed (Fallopia japonica)	Giant Hogweed (Heracleum mantegazzianum)			
Nuttall's Waterweed (Elodea nuttallii)				

The spread of invasive plant and animal species can negatively impact on the conservation objectives of certain Annex I habitats and species designated within SACs. No Third Schedule invasive species were noted within the site boundary during the site assessment. The risk of invasive species being introduced onto the site during the construction phase of the project is considered to be low, with no import of materials with the potential to contain invasive flora species. Any additional topsoil will be thoroughly checked and screened before being imported into the site. Landscaping at the site would use native and non-invasive species as part of the proposed design.

#### 6.3 POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON WATER QUALITY

The proposed development is located within the Barrow Catchment; thus the proposed development would be hydrologically linked to the River Barrow and River Nore SAC. However, the proposed development would not be considered to impact upon the listed habitats and species of the SAC site due to deleterious effects on water quality, owing to the location of the development, the nature and duration of works and the small scale of the development.

During the construction phase of projects, a deterioration in water quality can arise through the release of suspended solids during soil disturbance works, the release of uncured concrete and the release of hydrocarbons (fuels and oils). Construction works would be confined to the proposed development footprint, with no works taking place outside of the site boundary within a riparian zone or aquatic habitat. The construction of the development will be within the site footprint with limited deep excavation works required for the proposed development. The construction of the development would be within artificial surfaces within a site measuring approximately 0.018 hectares.

The development site is located approximately 172m from the River Barrow main channel but separated by the local road network (L4005) and other residential dwellings and comercial buildings. Wastewater from the proposed development will connect to the existing sewer line via a foul water drainage network designed as per the Irish Water Code of Practice for Wastewater Infrastructure (2020) (Document Reference: IW-CDS-5030-03) and Wastewater Infrastructure Standard Details, Connections and Developers Services (2020) (Document Reference: IW-CDS-5030-01). Waste will then flow to Carlow Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) (D0028-01) for treatment. Stormwater will be captured by the existing drainage network; it is not anticipated that there will be a deleterious effect on water quality within the River Barrow as a result of the proposed development.

In the event suspended solids become entrained in surface water run-off, there is considered to be no significant risk of impact on water quality as suspended solids would likely be retained on site as run-off percolates to the ground. The risk of water quality deterioration as a result of uncured concrete would be further reduced, given that precast concrete / blockwork would be used where possible and surplus concrete would be returned to the batching plant. Given the construction footprint would be small in scale, with the total proposed floor area for the units is approximately  $107m^2$  and the limited construction plant and equipment required, the risk of the proposed development impacting significantly upon water quality would be greatly reduced. The redevelopment of the site will not significantly alter the infiltration capacity of the soil with runoff being directed to the existing drainage network. Therefore, run-off from

the proposed development will not have a significant impact on the nearby watercourses such as the River Barrow.

It is therefore considered that, due to the nature and location of the development, the relatively small scale and extent of construction works, no excavation works within the riparian zone of the River Barrow watercourse, the proposed development would not pose a significant risk upon the River Barrow and River Nore SAC site due to a deleterious effect on water quality during either the construction or operational phases.

# 6.4 IN COMBINATION EFFECTS

The following plans and projects were reviewed and considered for in-combination effects with the proposed development:

- Carlow County Development Plan 2022-2028;
- County Carlow 2021 Local Economic and Community Plan 2016-2021;
- Proposed and permitted developments in the area available on Carlow County Council planning system.

The proposed development site is located within Carlow Town. Residential developments and estates along with recreational areas are located within the vicinity of the proposed site. The site is located along the junction confluence point of the local road Church Street and Haymarket that connects to the R417 regional road. The R417 connects to the N80 national road approximately 996m north of the proposed development site. An economic objective for Carlow Town is the zoning of adequate residential lands and creation of attractive towns. The following plans and projects were reviewed and considered for in-combination effects with the proposed development.

Application No.	Development Type	Outcome	Approximate Distance
2038	For alterations to existing ladder access to bell tower of St. Mary's Church of Ireland, Carlow (being a protected structure CT49). The proposed works comprise of the following; Replacement of existing timber ladder from ground to first floor with a loft style stairs, enlargement of opening in floor to accommodate same with timber balustrading around the perimeter. Replacement of timber ladders accessing upper levels over same with steel ladders with hoop fittings for safety, guard rails/balustrading around upper openings and all associated works	Granted - Conditional	32m SW
16309	Planning permission to construct one number additional domestic access from Dublin Street to existing ground, first and second floor domestic residence including internal hallway and minor alterations to existing ground floor retail unit and all associated site works	Granted - Conditional	39m E
18265	Planning permission for a café and food hall on the ground floor and a restaurant and kitchen on the first	Granted - Conditional	88m E

Table 6.4: Recent	planning	applications	close to the	proposed site
	praiming	applications		proposed site

Application No.	Development Type	Outcome	Approximate Distance
	floor along with associated site works in addition to the previously authorised activity as a retail butcher and food processing		
18138	The development will consist of the change of use for part of previously approved retail unit to retail unit and off licence sales area.	Granted - Conditional	71m NW
1964	Change of use of part of existing retail store for off- license uses (gross floor area of off-license use 3m <sup>2</sup> ) minor internal alterations and all associated works	Granted - Conditional	86m W
136562	Erection of a piece of public art, namely a limestone tower sculpture,	Granted - Conditional	138m W
19339	Change of use at first floor level from existing commercial use to student accommodation, use of existing ground floor entrances and stairwells, connection to existing services and all associated site works, and retention planning permission for a ground floor door	Granted - Conditional	127m SW
17372	Planning permission is sought for change of use of previous permitted vacant office space to a 1 bed residential apartment including all internal alterations and to construct a new balcony to serve the apartment on the first floor.	Granted - Conditional	129m SW
16220	Change of use of part only existing Town Centre Commercial Premises to Mortuary	Granted - Conditional	130m S
19464	<ol> <li>Conversion of part of existing unused basement to Social Space, Gym &amp; Laundry, and 2). Remodelling of 32 No apartments to increase the provision of single-occupancy student bedrooms without intensification of overall numbers at existing student accommodation</li> </ol>	Granted - Conditional	191m S
19478	for the refurbishment of the existing store (3892 m <sup>2</sup> ) including retail, staff area, stock room, external envelope, new plant buildings (29.16 m <sup>2</sup> ) and replacement roof to the retail store. The works will also include new signage, new canopy to loading bay, convert partial convenience retail to comparison retail, allocation of 47 No. carparking spaces including 3 No. disabled parking spaces, 15 No. bicycle stands, upgrade of existing carparking surface, landscaping and entrance to store, temporary hoarding to adjacent properties and associated works. A Natura Impact Statement will be submitted to the Planning Authority with the application.	Granted - Conditional	206m S
15188	PD 10/6351 for construction of 13 no. two storey detached dwellings on sites 13 to 23 and 29 to 30, Barrowville, Kilkenny Road, Carlow along with all associated site works. The proposed units would include three house types (Type B on sites 16,17,20,21 and 30; Type B1 on sites 13,22 and 23; Type C on sites 14,15,18,19 and 29) and constitute a change of house type to the thirteen two storey	Granted - Conditional	456m S

Application No.	Development Type	Outcome	Approximate Distance
	houses previously granted planning permission on		
	this site under planning register reference: PD 3497		
18198	To demolish and remove all existing single storey and two storey structures currently on site (formerly Dooley Motors Site), removal of all underground storage tanks and hardstand areas, removal of perimeter walls and replacement with 2.5m high boundary panel and all associated site works.	Granted - Conditional	805m N

The proposed heating system will be a heat pump system with air emissions that would be typical of a residential building being primarily from heating and therefore low impact in-andof-itself. In-combination residential impacts would be controlled by national energy policies, grant schemes and motor fuel emission targets. Continued implementation of the Water Framework Directive would result in achieving, or maintaining, improvements to water quality in the Barrow Catchment. Developments such as this proposed development could act in combination with existing environmental pressures on the Barrow Catchment, including agriculture, anthropogenic, domestic and urban wastewater, urban run-off, industry and forestry. However, as noted in Section 6.3, it is not considered that the development would pose a significant risk upon any Natura 2000 site due to a deleterious effect on water quality, during either the construction or operational phase. It is not considered that the proposed development would have a significant in-combination effect with existing or proposed developments in the area. As this is a single residential property the proposed development would not alter the existing characteristics or intensity of wastewater from Carlow Town.It is not considered that the proposed development would have a significant in-combination effect with existing or proposed developments in the area. Irish Water notes that the discharge from the Carlow WWTP (D0028-01) does not have an observable impact on the water quality or an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status (Irish Water, 2020).

As discussed in Sections 6.1 - 6.3 above, it is considered that there would be no significant in-combination risk to any European site owing to the development. As there are no anticipated significant risks from the development and proposed works given the scale and nature of recent nearby developments, the type of proposed development (residential dwelling), the distances of other developments in the area, it is considered that there would be no cumulative water, noise or air impacts which would pose a significant risk to designated sites or species.

## 7.0 SCREENING STATEMENT AND CONCLUSIONS

This report identified the presence of European sites (Natura 2000) within the potential zone of influence of the proposed development and noted that the proposed development site is approximately 172m from the River Barrow and River Nore SAC (Site Code 002162). The potential for impacts to European sites as a result of the proposed development such as potential water quality impacts, introduction of invasive species, habitat destruction and impacts from noise and dust were considered and the level of risk posed assessed.

During Stage 1 Screening for Appropriate Assessment, it was considered that there would be no potential for a significant impact upon the qualifying interests / special conservation interests of the River Barrow and River Nore SAC during both the construction and operational phase of the proposed development.

This report presents a Stage 1 Appropriate Assessment Screening for the Proposed Development, outlining the information required for the competent authority to screen for appropriate assessment and to determine whether or not the Proposed Development, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects, in view of best scientific knowledge, is likely to have a significant effect on any European or Natura 2000 site. It is considered that there would be no significant risk of a negative impact, either alone or in combination with other plans are 2000 network. Therefore, a Natura Impact Statement is not required.

Accordingly, having carried out the Stage 1 Appropriate Assessment Screening, the competent authority may determine that a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment of the Proposed Development is not required as it can be excluded, on the basis of objective scientific information following screening under this Regulation 42 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, as amended, that the Proposed Development, individually or in combination with other plans or projects, will not have a significant effect on any European site.

It can be objectively concluded that no significant effects arising from the proposed development are likely to occur in relation to the River Barrow and River Nore SAC or indeed any other Natura 2000 site in the wider hinterland.

#### 8.0 REFERENCES.

Aas, G., Riedmiller, A. (1994) Trees of Britain & Europe. Harper Collins Publishers

Averis, B. (2013) Plants and Habitats: An introduction to common plants and their habitats in Britain and Ireland. United Kingdom: Swallowtail Print Ltd

Bang, P., Dahlstrøm, P. and Walters, M. (2006) Animal Tracks and Signs. Oxford University Press

Byrne, A., Moorkens, E.A., Anderson, R., Killeen, I.J. & Regan, E.C. (2009) *Ireland Red List* No. 2 - Non-Marine Molluscs. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.

Cabot, D. (2004) Irish Birds. Harper Collins Publishers, London

Cummins, S., Fisher, J., McKeever, R. G., McNaghten, L. and Crow, O. (2010) Assessment of the distribution and abundance of Kingfisher Alcedo atthis and other riparian birds on six SAC river systems in Ireland. BirdWatch Ireland.

Curtis, T. and Thompson, R. (2009) The Orchids of Ireland. National Museums Northern Ireland

Council Directive (EC) 2009/147/EC of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds.

Council Directive (EC) 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora.

Devlin, Z. (2014) Wildflowers of Ireland: A Field Guide. Cork: Collins Press.

DoEHLG (2010) Freshwater Pearl Mussel Mountain Sub-Basin Management Plan. Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government.

DoEHLG (2009) Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland - Guidance for Planning Authorities.

Environment DG, European Commission (2002) Assessment of plans and projects significantly affecting Natura 2000 sites - Methodological guidance on the provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC.

Environmental Protection Agency Licence public access information, Available at: <u>http://www.epa.ie/licensing/iedipcse/</u>

EPA (March 2021) "Code of Practice – Domestic Waste Water Treatment Systems (Population Equivalents  $\leq 10$ )".

European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations 2009 (S.I. No. 272 of 2009).

European Communities Environmental Objectives (Freshwater Pearl Mussel) Regulations 2009 (S.I. 296 of 2009)

European Communities (Quality of Salmonid Waters) Regulations 1988 (S.I. No. 293 of 1988)

Fitzpatrick, U., Weeks, L., Wright, M. (2016) *Identification Guide to Irelands Grasses*. National Biodiversity Data Centre

Gordon, P., Donovan, R., Matson, R., Corcoran, W., and Kelly, F.L. (2021) Sampling Fish in Rivers 2020 – River Barrow Catchment, Factsheet No. 2020/01. National Research Survey Programme. Inland Fisheries Ireland

Fossitt, J.A. (2000) A Guide to Habitats in Ireland. Kilkenny: The Heritage Council.

Harrap, S. (2013) Wild Flowers, A Field Guide to the Flowers of Britain & Ireland. Bloomsbury Publishing.

Irish Water (2020). Annual Environmental Report 2020: Carlow D0028-01.

Irish Water (2020) Connections and Developer Services, Design and Construction Requirements for Self-Lay Developments. Document IW-CDS-5030-03

Irish Water (2020) Wastewater Infrastructure Standard Details, Connections and Developer Services. Design and Construction Requirements for Self-Lay Developments. Document IW-CDS-5030-01

Johnson, O. and More, D. (2006) *Collins Tree Guide: The Most Complete Field Guide to the Trees of Britain and Europe*. London: HarperCollins Publishers.

NPWS (2020) Natura Standard Data Form for River Barrow and River Nore SAC.

NPWS (2019a) The Status of Protected EU Habitats and Species in Ireland. Volume 1: Summary Overview Unpublished Report, National Parks and Wildlife Services, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

NPWS (2019b) The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Volume 2: Habitats Assessments. Unpublished report. National Parks and Wildlife Services, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

NPWS (2019c) The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Volume 3: Species Assessments. Unpublished report. National Parks and Wildlife Services, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

NPWS (2016) Site Synopsis: River Barrow and River Nore SAC 002162. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

NPWS (2011) Conservation Objectives: River Barrow and River Nore SAC 002162. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

O'Neill, F.H. & Barron, S.J. (2013) Results of monitoring survey of old sessile oak woods and alluvial forests. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 71. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

Parnell, J. and Curtis, T. (2012) Webb's An Irish Flora. Cork: Cork University Press.

Philips, R. (1980) *Grasses, Ferns, Mosses & Lichens of Great Britain and Ireland*. London: Pan Books.

Rose, F. (2006) *The Wildflower Key: How to identify wildflowers, trees and shrubs in Britain and Ireland.* China: Frederick Warne & Co.

Smith, G.F., O'Donoghue, P., O'Hora, K. and Delaney, E. (2011) *Best Practice Guidance for habitat survey and mapping*. The Heritage Council, Kilkenny. Available at: <u>www.heritagecouncil.ie/wildlife/publications/</u>

Streeter, D. (2018) Collins Wild Flower Guide. Harper Collins Publishers, London

Sterry, P. (2004) Complete Irish Wildlife. Harper Collins Publishers, London

Sutherland, W.J. (Ed.). (2006) *Ecological Census Techniques*. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

Wheater, C.P., Bell, J.R. and Cook, P.A. (2011) *Practical Field Ecology: A Project Guide*. John Wiley & Sons.

Wilson, J. and Carmody, M. (2013) The Birds of Ireland. Gill Books

# **APPENDIX A** All Qualifying Interests

QUALIFYING INTEREST	LOCATION IN THE NATURA 2000 SITE RELATIVE TO APPLICATION SITE	POTENTIAL FOR IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT	LISTED FOR FURTHER EXAMINATION IN APPENDIX B
[1130] Estuaries	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of these qualifying interests (NPWS, 2019b). The nearest examples of these qualifying interests are located approximately 41km downstream of the proposed development (NPWS, 2011). Given the considerable distance, it is not anticipated that the development would have the potential to negatively impact upon these qualifying interests.	No	No
[1140] Tidal Mudflats and Sandflats	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of these qualifying interests (NPWS, 2019b). The nearest examples of these qualifying interests are located approximately 66km downstream of the proposed development (NPWS, 2011). Given the considerable distance, it is not anticipated that the development would have the potential to negatively impact upon these qualifying interests.	No	No
[1170] Reefs	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of these qualifying interests (NPWS, 2019b). The nearest examples of these qualifying interests are located approximately 89km downstream of the proposed development (NPWS, 2011). Given the considerable distance, it is not anticipated that the development would have the potential to negatively impact upon these qualifying interests.	No	No
[1330] Atlantic Salt Meadows ( <i>Glauco-</i> <i>Puccinellietalia</i> <i>maritimae</i> )	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of these qualifying interests (NPWS, 2019b). The nearest examples of these qualifying interests are located greater than 81km downstream of the proposed development (NPWS, 2011). Given the considerable distance, it is not anticipated that the development would have the potential to negatively impact upon these qualifying interests.	No	No
[1410] Mediterranean salt meadows ( <i>Juncetalia maritimi</i> )	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of these qualifying interests (NPWS, 2019b). The nearest examples of these qualifying interests are located greater than 81km downstream of the proposed development (NPWS, 2011). Given the considerable distance, it is not anticipated that the development would have the potential to negatively impact upon these qualifying interests.	No	No

QUALIFYING INTEREST	LOCATION IN THE NATURA 2000 SITE RELATIVE TO APPLICATION SITE	POTENTIAL FOR IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT	LISTED FOR FURTHER EXAMINATION IN APPENDIX B
[3260] Floating River Vegetation	The development site is located within the current distribution, current range and favourable reference range of this qualifying interest (NPWS, 2019b). While this habitat is noted in the SAC site synopsis as being well represented in the Kings River Barrow and its tributaries. The Conservation Objectives for this qualifying interest include water quality attributes.	Yes	Yes
[4030] Dry Heath	The proposed development is located within the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of this qualifying interest (NPWS, 2019b). The SAC Conservation Objectives report notes that the spatial extent of this habitat is currently unmapped, but is indicated as occurring on steep, free-draining river valley sides. Dry heath is a terrestrial habitat, therefore a potential deterioration in water quality during construction works would not be anticipated to have a significant adverse impact upon this qualifying interest should it be present adjacent the Barrow River.	No	No
[6430] Hydrophilous Tall Herb Communities	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of this qualifying interest (NPWS, 2019b). The SAC Conservation Objectives report notes that the distribution of this habitat within the SAC site is currently unknown, but is considered to occur at some riverside woodlands, river islands and in narrow bands along the floodplain of slow-flowing river stretches. Water quality is not listed as a conservation objective for this qualifying interest. It is therefore not anticipated that the proposed development would have the potential to adversely impact upon this qualifying interest.	No	No
[7220] Petrifying Springs*	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of this qualifying interest (NPWS, 2019b). The nearest example of this qualifying interest is located at the River Nore (NPWS, 2011). Located 38km southwest of the proposed site. Given the considerable hydrological distance and that it is above the tidal reach of the River Nore, it is not anticipated that the proposed development would have direct or indirect negative impacts upon this qualifying interest.	No	No

QUALIFYING INTEREST	LOCATION IN THE NATURA 2000 SITE RELATIVE TO APPLICATION SITE	POTENTIAL FOR IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT	LISTED FOR FURTHER EXAMINATION IN APPENDIX B
[91A0] Old Oak Woodlands	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution but within current range and favourable reference range of this qualifying interest (NPWS, 2019b). According to the SAC Conservation Objectives report, old oak woodlands are located approximately 33km south (39km downstream hydrologically) near Graiguenamanagh. However, the report notes that further unsurveyed areas may be present within the SAC. Old oak woodlands are a terrestrial habitat, therefore a potential deterioration in water quality during construction works would not be anticipated to have a significant adverse impact upon this qualifying interest.	No	No
[91E0] Alluvial Forests*	The proposed development is located within the current range, favourable reference range and the current known distribution of this qualifying interest (NPWS, 2019b). According to the SAC Conservation Objectives report, alluvial forests are located approximately 4km upstream (3.5km hydrologically) north of Carlow Town. However, the report notes that further unsurveyed areas may be present within the SAC. A potential deterioration in water quality would not be anticipated to have a significant adverse impact upon this qualifying interest.	No	No
[1016] Desmoulin's Whorl Snail (Vertigo moulinsiana)	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of this qualifying interest (NPWS, 2019c). According to the SAC Conservation Objectives report, the nearest record of Desmoulin's whorl snail is located approximately 28km (29km hydrologically) downstream near Borris. Water quality is not listed as a conservation objective for this qualifying interest, it is not anticipated that the proposed development would have the potential to adversely impact upon the Desmoulin's whorl snail.	No	No
[1029] Freshwater Pearl Mussel (Margaritifera margaritifera)	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of the freshwater pearl mussel (NPWS, 2019c). The SAC Conservation Objectives report notes that the status of <i>Margaritifera margaritifera</i> as a qualifying interest for the site is currently under review, while <i>M. m. durrovensis</i> is confined to a 15km (approximate) stretch of the River Nore, this is located above the tidal reach of the River Nore. The Conservation Objectives for this	Yes	Yes

QUALIFYING INTEREST	LOCATION IN THE NATURA 2000 SITE RELATIVE TO APPLICATION SITE	POTENTIAL FOR IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT	LISTED FOR FURTHER EXAMINATION IN APPENDIX B
[1990] Nore Freshwater Pearl Mussel ( <i>Margaritifera</i> <i>durrovensis</i> )	qualifying interest notes Salmonid fish are host to the larval form of freshwater pearl mussels. A deterioration in water quality could impact on Salmonid fish thereby impact on Freshwater Pearl Mussel.		
[1092] White-clawed Crayfish (Austropotamobius pallipes)	The development site is located within the current distribution, current range and favourable reference range of this qualifying interest (NPWS, 2019c). The SAC Conservation Objectives report notes that crayfish are present almost throughout the SAC. Potentially located within the River Barrow approximately 172m west of proposed site. EPA have records within the River Biologists' Database for White-clawed Crayfish along the River Barrow. The Conservation Objectives for this qualifying interest include water quality attributes.	Yes	Yes
[1095] Sea Lamprey ( <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> )	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of the Sea Lamprey (NPWS, 2019b). The SAC Conservation Objectives report notes that upstream migration may be inhibited by artificial barriers, and that artificial barriers are currently preventing juvenile lampreys from accessing the full extent of suitable habitat. Potentially located within the River Barrow approximately172m west of proposed site. Lamprey sp. were noted further upstream of the Bridge in Carlow Town and throughout the Barrow catchment (Gordon et al, 2021). The Conservation Objectives for this qualifying interest include water quality attributes.	Yes	Yes
[1096] Brook Lamprey ( <i>Lampetra planeri</i> )	The proposed development is located within the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of brook lamprey. River and brook lamprey are indistinguishable as larvae. Potentially located within the River Barrow approximately172m west of proposed site. Lamprey sp. were noted further upstream of the Bridge in Carlow Town and throughout the Barrow catchment (Gordon et al, 2021). The Conservation Objectives for this qualifying interest include water quality attributes.	Yes	Yes
[1099] River Lamprey (Lampetra fluviatilis)	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of river lamprey (NPWS, 2019c). River and brook lamprey are indistinguishable as larvae. Potentially located within the River	Yes	Yes

QUALIFYING INTEREST	LOCATION IN THE NATURA 2000 SITE RELATIVE TO APPLICATION SITE	POTENTIAL FOR IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT	LISTED FOR FURTHER EXAMINATION IN APPENDIX B
	Barrow approximately 172m west of proposed site. Lamprey sp. were noted further upstream of the Bridge in Carlow Town and throughout the Barrow catchment (Gordon et al, 2021). The Conservation Objectives for this qualifying interest include water quality attributes.		
[1103] Twaite Shad (Alosa fallax)	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of the Twaite Shad (NPWS, 2019c). The nearest records for Twaite Shad are located approximately 42km downstream from the proposed development site. Artificial barriers block twaite shads' upstream migration, thereby limiting species to lower stretches and restricting access to spawning areas.	No	No
[1106] Atlantic Salmon ( <i>Salmo salar</i> )	The proposed development is located within the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of this qualifying interest (NPWS, 2019c). Potentially located within the River Barrow approximately172m west of proposed site. Salmon were noted further upstream of the Bridge in Carlow Town and throughout the Barrow catchment (Gordon et al, 2021). The Conservation Objectives for this qualifying interest include water quality attributes.	Yes	Yes
[1355] Otter (Lutra lutra)	The proposed development is located within the current distribution, current range and favourable reference range of otter (NPWS, 2019c). Potentially located within the River Barrow approximately172m west of proposed site. With Atlas of Mammals in Ireland 2010-2015 recording otter in the Barrow in Carlow Town. A significant impact on water quality could indirectly impact upon this qualifying interest by causing a reduction in prey populations and availability.	Yes	Yes
[1421] Killarney Fern ( <i>Trichomanes</i> speciosum)	The proposed development is located outside the current known distribution, current range and favourable reference range of this qualifying interest (NPWS, 2019c). According to the SAC Conservation Objectives report, the nearest record of Killarney fern to the proposed site is located approximately 33km (39km hydrologically) to the south (downstream of Graiguenamanagh). Water quality is not listed as a conservation objective for this qualifying interest. It is therefore not anticipated that the proposed development would have the potential to adversely impact upon this qualifying interest.	No	No

# **APPENDIX B**

QUALIFYING INTERESTS WITHIN THE PROJECT ZONE OF INTEREST

CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES (NPWS 2011)	THREATS AND PRESSURES (NPWS 2019)	KEY ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	POTENTIAL IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT
[3260] Floating River Vegetation	<ul> <li>Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters.</li> <li>Modification of hydrological flow.</li> <li>Physical alteration of water bodies.</li> <li>Discharge of urban wastewater (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water.</li> <li>Forestry activities generating pollution to surface or ground waters.</li> <li>Pollution to surface or ground water due to urban runoffs.</li> <li>Peat extraction.</li> <li>Plants contaminated or abandoned industrial sites generating pollution to surface or ground water.</li> <li>Abstraction from groundwater, surface water or mixed water.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A potential deterioration in Water Quality* could potentially impact on this habitat.</li> <li><u>Key Conservation Measures</u></li> <li>Reversal/rehabilitation of hydro-morphological changes, including instream structures and catchment drainage impacts/restoration of hydrological regime.</li> <li>Reducing pollution (with dissolved and/or particulate nutrients, humic substances, organic matter and fine sediment/turbidity) from agricultural, forestry, turf-cutting, and domestic and urban waste-water sources.</li> </ul>	No potential for a significant impact on water quality as there is no potential for significant groundwater contamination or significant runoff (sediments or hydrocarbons) from the proposed site that would enter any watercourse or drainage system that is hydrologically connected to the SAC.
[1029] Freshwater Pearl Mussel ( <i>Margaritifera</i> <i>margaritifera</i> ) [1990] Nore Freshwater Pearl Mussel ( <i>Margaritifera</i> <i>durrovensis</i> )	<ul> <li>Drainage for use as agricultural land.</li> <li>Modification of hydrological conditions, or physical alteration of water bodies and drainage for forestry (including dams).</li> <li>Other modification of hydrological conditions for residential or recreational development.</li> <li>Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters.</li> <li>Forestry activities generating pollution to surface or ground waters.</li> <li>Discharge of urban wastewater (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Freshwater Pearl Mussels are sensitive to sedimentation and nutrient enrichment. Furthermore, as the larval stages relay on salmonid fish hosts, any potential impact on salmonids can have an impact upon the Freshwater Pearl Mussel.</li> <li><u>Key Conservation Measures</u></li> <li>Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures in agriculture.</li> </ul>	No potential for a significant impact on water quality as there is no potential for significant groundwater contamination or significant runoff (sediments or hydrocarbons) from the proposed site

CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES (NPWS 2011)	THREATS AND PRESSURES (NPWS 2019)	KEY ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	POTENTIAL IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT
	<ul> <li>generating pollution to surface or ground water.</li> <li>Peat extraction.</li> <li>Modification of flooding regimes, flood protection for residential or recreational development.</li> <li>Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure.</li> <li>Abstraction of ground and surface waters (including marine) for public water supply and recreational use.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduce diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters from agricultural activities.</li> <li>Adapt mowing, grazing and other equivalent agricultural activities.</li> <li>Manage the use of natural fertilisers and chemicals in agricultural (plant and animal) production.</li> <li>Adapt/manage reforestation and forest regeneration.</li> <li>Stop forest management and exploitation practices.</li> <li>Adapt/change forest management and exploitation practices.</li> <li>Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures.</li> </ul>	that would enter any watercourse or drainage system that is hydrologically connected to the SAC.
[1092] White- clawed Crayfish (Austropotamobius pallipes)	<ul> <li>Plant and animal diseases, pathogens and pests.</li> <li>Invasive alien species of Union concern</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A potential deterioration in Water Quality* could potentially impact on this species.</li> <li><u>Key Conservation Measures</u></li> <li>Early detection and rapid eradication of invasive alien species of Union concern.</li> <li>Controlling and eradicating plant and animal diseases, pathogens and pests.</li> </ul>	No potential for a significant impact on water quality as there is no potential for significant groundwater contamination or significant runoff (sediments or hydrocarbons) from the proposed site that would enter any watercourse or

Conservation Objectives (NPWS 2011)	THREATS AND PRESSURES (NPWS 2019)	KEY ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	POTENTIAL IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT
[1095] Sea Lamprey ( <i>Petromyzon</i> marinus)	<ul> <li>Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure.</li> <li>Increases or changes in precipitation due to climate change.</li> <li>Application of natural fertilisers on agricultural land.</li> <li>Application of synthetic (mineral) fertilisers on agricultural land.</li> <li>Drainage for use as agricultural land.</li> <li>Marine fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational) causing reduction of species/prey populations.</li> <li>Threats and pressures from outside the Member State.</li> <li>Temperature changes (e.g. rise of temperature &amp; extremes) due to climate change.</li> <li>Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sea lamprey may be adversely impacted upon by sedimentation and water pollution.</li> <li><u>Key Conservation Measures</u></li> <li>Reduce impact of hydropower operations and infrastructure.</li> <li>Manage changes in hydrological and coastal systems and regimes for construction and development.</li> <li>Any measure to reduce diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters from agricultural activities would benefit water quality in rivers. This would have a knock-on beneficial effect on sea lamprey during the freshwater spawning phase, when spawning grounds can experience substantial filamentous algal growth.</li> </ul>	drainage system that is hydrologically connected to the SAC. No potential for a significant impact on water quality as there is no potential for significant groundwater contamination or significant runoff (sediments or hydrocarbons) from the proposed site that would enter any watercourse or drainage system that is hydrologically connected to the SAC.
[1096] Brook Lamprey ( <i>Lampetra planeri</i> )	<ul> <li>Application of synthetic (mineral) fertilisers on agricultural land.</li> <li>Drainage for use as agricultural land.</li> <li>Clear-cutting, removal of all trees.</li> <li>Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure.</li> </ul>	Brook lamprey may be adversely impacted upon by sedimentation and water pollution. <u>Key Conservation Measures</u>	No potential for a significant impact on water quality as there is no potential for significant


CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES (NPWS 2011)	THREATS AND PRESSURES (NPWS 2019)	KEY ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	POTENTIAL IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT
	<ul> <li>Pollution to surface or ground water due to urban runoffs.</li> <li>Discharge of urban wastewater (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water.</li> <li>Temperature changes (e.g. rise of temperature &amp; extremes) due to climate change.</li> <li>Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change.</li> </ul>	• Diffuse and point source pollution may be having localised impacts on populations of <i>L. planeri</i> . There has been a 3% reduction in river water quality in the national territory since 2015 (EPA, 2018) and the main sources of nutrient inputs are agriculture (slurry and chemical fertilisers) and sewage (waste water treatment plants).	groundwater contamination or significant runoff (sediments or hydrocarbons) from the proposed site that would enter any watercourse or drainage system that is hydrologically connected to the SAC.
[1099] River Lamprey ( <i>Lampetra</i> <i>fluviatilis</i> )	<ul> <li>Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure.</li> <li>Increases or changes in precipitation due to climate change.</li> <li>Application of natural fertilisers on agricultural land.</li> <li>Application of synthetic (mineral) fertilisers on agricultural land.</li> <li>Drainage for use as agricultural land.</li> <li>Shipping lanes, ferry lanes and anchorage infrastructure (e.g. canalisation, dredging).</li> <li>Temperature changes (e.g. rise of temperature &amp; extremes) due to climate change.</li> <li>Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>River lamprey may be adversely impacted upon by sedimentation and water pollution.</li> <li><u>Key Conservation Measures</u></li> <li>Reduce impact of hydropower operations and infrastructure.</li> <li>Manage changes in hydrological and coastal systems and regimes for construction and development.</li> </ul>	No potential for a significant impact on water quality as there is no potential for significant groundwater contamination or significant runoff (sediments or hydrocarbons) from the proposed site that would enter any watercourse or drainage system that is hydrologically connected to the SAC.


CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES (NPWS 2011)	THREATS AND PRESSURES (NPWS 2019)	KEY ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	POTENTIAL IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT
[1106] Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar)	<ul> <li>Marine fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational) causing reduction of species/prey populations.</li> <li>Freshwater fish and shellfish harvesting (recreational).</li> <li>Bycatch and incidental killing (due to fishing and hunting activities).</li> <li>Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern).</li> <li>Temperature changes (e.g. rise of temperature &amp; extremes) due to climate change.</li> <li>Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Salmon, particularly juveniles and spawning beds, are sensitive to sedimentation and water pollution. A potential deterioration in Water Quality* could impact on this species.</li> <li><u>Key Conservation Measures</u></li> <li>Manage the use of natural fertilisers and chemicals in agricultural (plant and animal) production.</li> <li>Reduce/eliminate point pollution to surface or ground waters from agricultural activities.</li> <li>Reduce diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters from agricultural activities.</li> <li>Adapt/change forest management and exploitation practices.</li> <li>Reduce diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters from forestry activities.</li> <li>Management of professional /commercial fishing (including shellfish and seaweed harvesting).</li> <li>Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants.</li> <li>Control/eradication of illegal killing, fishing and harvesting.</li> </ul>	No potential for a significant impact on water quality as there is no potential for significant groundwater contamination or significant runoff (sediments or hydrocarbons) from the proposed site that would enter any watercourse or drainage system that is hydrologically connected to the SAC.

CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES (NPWS 2011)	THREATS AND PRESSURES (NPWS 2019)	KEY ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	POTENTIAL IMPACTS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT
		<ul> <li>Manage water abstraction for public supply and for industrial and commercial use.</li> <li>Support conservation measures in countries outside the EU.</li> </ul>	
[1355] Otter (Lutra lutra)	None listed	<ul> <li>A significant impact on water quality could indirectly impact upon this qualifying interest by causing a reduction in prey populations and availability.</li> <li><u>Key Conservation Measures</u></li> <li>The network of mammal underpasses on new roads are examples of positive measures that have been taken to reduce otter roadkill.</li> <li>Diffuse and point-source pollution of freshwaters and coastal waters is likely to impact otters indirectly through changes to prey abundance.</li> </ul>	No potential for a significant impact on water quality as there is no potential for significant groundwater contamination or significant runoff (sediments or hydrocarbons) from the proposed site that would enter any watercourse or drainage system that is hydrologically connected to the SAC.

\* See Table 5.1 for Water Quality Targets set in Conservation Objectives

# **APPENDIX C** PROTECTED SITES AND SITE PLANS







# APPENDIX D PHOTO LOG

